



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

Fluctuations of N-particle quantum dynamics around the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Brennecke, Christian ; Nam, Phan Thành ; Napiórkowski, Marcin ; Schlein, Benjamin

Abstract: We consider a system of N bosons interacting through a singular two-body potential scaling with N and having the form $N^{3-1}V(Nx)$, for an arbitrary parameter $\in (0;1)$. We provide a norm-approximation for the many-body evolution of initial data exhibiting Bose-Einstein condensation in terms of a cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation for the condensate wave function and of a unitary Fock space evolution with a generator quadratic in creation and annihilation operators for the fluctuations.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2018.10.007>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-159779>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Brennecke, Christian; Nam, Phan Thành; Napiórkowski, Marcin; Schlein, Benjamin (2019). Fluctuations of N-particle quantum dynamics around the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Analyse Non Linéaire*, 36(5):1201-1235.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2018.10.007>

FLUCTUATIONS OF N -PARTICLE QUANTUM DYNAMICS AROUND THE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

CHRISTIAN BRENNECKE, PHAN THÀNH NAM, MARCIN NAPIÓRKOWSKI,
AND BENJAMIN SCHLEIN

ABSTRACT. We consider a system of N bosons interacting through a singular two-body potential scaling with N and having the form $N^{3\beta-1}V(N^\beta x)$, for an arbitrary parameter $\beta \in (0, 1)$. We provide a norm-approximation for the many-body evolution of initial data exhibiting Bose-Einstein condensation in terms of a cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation for the condensate wave function and of a unitary Fock space evolution with a generator quadratic in creation and annihilation operators for the fluctuations.

1. INTRODUCTION

From first principles of quantum mechanics, the evolution of a system of N identical (spinless) bosons in \mathbb{R}^3 is governed by the many-body Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \Psi_{N,t} = H_N \Psi_{N,t} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Psi_{N,t} \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes_s N}$$

is the wave function and H_N is the Hamilton operator of the system. We will restrict our attention to Hamilton operators of the form

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} V_N(x_j - x_k) \quad (2)$$

with N -dependent two-body interaction potential

$$V_N(x) = N^{3\beta} V(N^\beta x). \quad (3)$$

Here $\beta \geq 0$ is a fixed parameter and $V \geq 0$ is a smooth, radially symmetric and compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^3 .

For $\beta = 0$, (2) is a mean-field Hamiltonian, describing a system of particles experiencing a large number of weak collisions. For $\beta = 1$, on the other hand, (2) corresponds to the Gross-Pitaevskii regime, where collisions are rare but strong. Physically, the Gross-Pitaevskii regime is more relevant for the description of trapped Bose-Einstein condensates. The mean-field regime, on the other hand, is more accessible to mathematical analysis. In this paper, we will study the solution of the Schrödinger equation (1) for intermediate regimes with $0 < \beta < 1$.

From the point of view of physics, it is interesting to study the solution of (1) for initial data approximating ground states of trapped systems; this corresponds to experimental settings where the evolution of an initially trapped Bose gas at very low temperature is observed after switching off the external fields.

It is known since [35, 41] that the ground state of a system of trapped bosons interacting through a two-body potential like the one appearing on the r.h.s. of (2) exhibits complete Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC); the one-particle reduced density

associated with the ground state wave function $\psi_N \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ converges, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, towards the orthogonal projection onto a one-particle orbital $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Hence, we will be interested in the solution of (1) for initial data exhibiting BEC. Despite its linearity, for large N ($N \simeq 10^5 - 10^7$ in typical experiments) it is impossible to solve the many-body Schrödinger equation (1), neither analytically nor numerically. It is important, therefore, to find good approximations of the solution of (1) that are valid in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. A first step in this direction was achieved in [17] for $\beta < 1/2$ and in [18, 19] for the Gross-Pitaevskii regime with $\beta = 1$ (the same ideas can also be extended to all $\beta \in (0, 1)$), where it was proven that, for every fixed time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the solution $\psi_{N,t}$ of (1) still exhibits BEC and that its one-particle reduced density converges to the orthogonal projection onto φ_t , given by the solution of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \varphi_t = -\Delta \varphi_t + \sigma |\varphi_t|^2 \varphi_t \quad (4)$$

with the initial data $\varphi_{t=0} = \varphi$ and with coupling constant $\sigma = \int V(x) dx$ for $\beta < 1$ and $\sigma = 8\pi a_0$ for $\beta = 1$ (where a_0 denotes the scattering length of the unscaled potential V). The results of [17, 18, 19] have been revisited and improved further in [43, 8, 14, 11]. In the simpler case $\beta = 0$, i.e. in the mean-field regime, the convergence of the one-particle reduced density towards the orthogonal projection onto the solution of the nonlinear Hartree equation

$$i\partial_t \varphi_t = -\Delta \varphi_t + (V * |\varphi_t|^2) \varphi_t \quad (5)$$

has been proved in several situations; see, e.g., [47, 6, 20, 1, 16, 4, 21, 22, 31, 30, 3, 13, 2].

In the present paper, we are interested in the norm approximation to the many-body evolution, which is more precise than the convergence of the one-particle reduced density. It requires not only to follow the dynamics of the condensate, but also to take into account the evolution of its excitations.

To describe excitations and their dynamics, it is convenient to switch to a Fock space representation (because the number of excitations, in contrast with the total number of particles, is not preserved). We define the bosonic Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}).$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and for $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$, we define the creation operator $a^*(f)$ and its adjoint, the annihilation operator $a(f)$, through

$$\begin{aligned} (a^*(f)\Psi)^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) \Psi^{(n-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}), \\ (a(f)\Psi)^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sqrt{n+1} \int \overline{f(x_{n+1})} \Psi^{(n+1)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) dx_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Creation and annihilation operators satisfy canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$[a(f), a(g)] = [a^*(f), a^*(g)] = 0, \quad [a(f), a^*(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad \forall f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (6)$$

It is also convenient to introduce operator-valued distributions a_x^* and a_x so that

$$a^*(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) a_x^* dx, \quad a(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f(x)} a_x dx, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (7)$$

Expressed through these operator-valued distributions, the CCR take the form

$$[a_x^*, a_y^*] = [a_x, a_y] = 0, \quad [a_x, a_y^*] = \delta(x - y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

A self-adjoint operator A on the one-particle space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ can be lifted to a Fock space operator by second quantization, defining

$$d\Gamma(A) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n A_j$$

with A_j acting as A on the j -th particle and as the identity on the other $(N-1)$ particles. If A has the integral kernel $A(x; y)$, $d\Gamma(A)$ can be expressed as

$$d\Gamma(A) = \int A(x; y) a_x^* a_y dx dy$$

For example, the number of particles operator is given by

$$\mathcal{N} = d\Gamma(1) = \int dx a_x^* a_x$$

On the Fock space \mathcal{F} , it is instructive to study the time-evolution of coherent initial data, having the form

$$W(\sqrt{N}\varphi)\Omega = e^{-N/2} \left\{ 1, \varphi, \frac{\varphi^{\otimes 2}}{\sqrt{2!}}, \dots \right\} \quad (8)$$

for $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$. Here $\Omega = \{1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ is the Fock space vacuum and, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $W(f) = \exp(a^*(f) - a(f))$ is a Weyl operator. The normalization of φ guarantees that

$$\langle W(\sqrt{N}\varphi)\Omega, \mathcal{N}W(\sqrt{N}\varphi)\Omega \rangle = N.$$

The time-evolution of initial coherent states of the form (8), generated by the natural extension of the Hamiltonian (2) to the Fock space \mathcal{F}

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \int dx a_x^* (-\Delta_x) a_x + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_y a_x =: \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{V}_N \quad (9)$$

has been studied for $\beta = 0$ in [29, 23], where it was proven that

$$\left\| e^{-i\mathcal{H}_N t} W(\sqrt{N}\varphi)\Omega - W(\sqrt{N}\varphi_t) \mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f(t; 0)\Omega \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Here φ_t denotes the solution of the Hartree equation (5) and $\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f(t; s)$ is a unitary dynamics on \mathcal{F} with a time-dependent generator that is quadratic in creation and annihilation operators¹. This implies that $\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f(t; s)$ acts on creation and annihilation operators as a time-dependent Bogoliubov transformation $\Theta_{\text{mf}}(t; s) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ having the form

$$\Theta_{\text{mf}}(t; s) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{mf}}(t; s) & \overline{V_{\text{mf}}(t; s)} \\ V_{\text{mf}}(t; s) & \overline{U_{\text{mf}}(t; s)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

In other words, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, we find

$$\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f(t; s)^* a(f) \mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f(t; s) = a(U_{\text{mf}}(t; s)f) + a^*(V_{\text{mf}}(t; s)\bar{f}). \quad (12)$$

The time-dependent Bogoliubov transformation Θ_{mf} can be determined solving the partial differential equation

$$i\partial_t \Theta_{\text{mf}}(t; s) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{mf}}(t) \Theta_{\text{mf}}(t; s) \quad (13)$$

with initial condition $\Theta_{\text{mf}}(s; s) = 1$ and with generator

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{mf}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} D(t) & -\overline{B(t)} \\ B(t) & -D(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

¹In the notation for $\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f$, the subscript mf and the superscript f refer to the fact that (10) holds in the mean-field regime with $\beta = 0$ for Fock space initial data

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(t)f &= -\Delta f + (V * |\varphi_t|^2)f + (V * \overline{\varphi}_t f)\varphi_t \\ B(t)f &= (V * \overline{\varphi}_t f)\overline{\varphi}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (10) allows us to describe the very complex many-body dynamics generated on \mathcal{F} by the Hamiltonian (9) by solving the equation (5) for the condensate wave function and the equation (13) for the Bogoliubov transformation $\Theta_{\text{mf}}(t; s)$ describing the evolution of fluctuations around the condensate.

The ideas of [29, 23] have been further developed in [45] and they have been used to prove a central limit theorem in [7, 12]. In [27, 28], norm approximations for the many-body dynamics in Fock space has been derived using different approaches.

To obtain a norm approximation for the mean-field time-evolution of N -particle initial data exhibiting BEC in a state with wave function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it is very convenient to use a unitary map introduced in [34], mapping $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ into the truncated Fock space

$$\mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi}^{\leq N} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^N L_{\perp\varphi}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes_s j} \quad (14)$$

constructed over the orthogonal complement $L_{\perp\varphi}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the one-dimensional space spanned by the condensate wave function φ . The space (14) provides the natural setting to describe orthogonal excitations of the condensate (whose number can fluctuate). The idea here is that every $\psi_N \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ can be written uniquely as

$$\psi_N = \alpha_0 \varphi^{\otimes N} + \alpha_1 \otimes_s \varphi^{\otimes(N-1)} + \dots + \alpha_N$$

where $\alpha_j \in L_{\perp\varphi}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes_s j}$ for all $j = 0, \dots, N$ (for $j = 0$, $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$). Therefore, we can define $U_\varphi : L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi}^{\leq N}$ by setting $U_\varphi \psi_N = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N\}$. By orthogonality, it is easy to check that U_φ is a unitary map. In terms of creation and annihilation operators, it is given by

$$U_\varphi = \bigoplus_{n=0}^N (1 - |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)^{\otimes n} \frac{a(\varphi)^{N-n}}{\sqrt{(N-n)!}}, \quad U_\varphi^* = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{a^*(\varphi)^{N-n}}{\sqrt{(N-n)!}}. \quad (15)$$

The actions of U_φ on creation and annihilation operators follow the simple rules:

$$U_\varphi a^*(\varphi) a(\varphi) U_\varphi^* = N - \mathcal{N}, \quad (16)$$

$$U_\varphi a^*(f) a(\varphi) U_\varphi^* = a^*(f) \sqrt{N - \mathcal{N}}, \quad (17)$$

$$U_\varphi a^*(\varphi) a(g) U_\varphi^* = \sqrt{N - \mathcal{N}} a(g), \quad (18)$$

$$U_\varphi a^*(f) a(g) U_\varphi^* = a^*(f) a(g) \quad (19)$$

for all $f, g \in L_{\perp\varphi}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Heuristically, U_φ factors out the condensate described by the wave function φ and it allows us to focus on its orthogonal excitations.

The unitary map U_φ was used in [33] to obtain a norm approximation for the many-body evolution in the mean-field regime with $\beta = 0$ (see [36] for a similar result). For N -particle initial data of the form $\psi_N = U_\varphi^* \xi_N$ with $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi}^{\leq N}$ having a finite expectation for the number of particles and for the kinetic energy operator, it was proven there that the solution of the many-body Schrödinger equation (1) is such that

$$\|U_{\varphi_t} \psi_{N,t} - \mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}(t; 0) \xi_N\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (20)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where, similarly to (10), φ_t is the solution of (5) and $\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}(t; s)$ is a unitary evolution on the Fock space, with a time-dependent generator quadratic in creation and annihilation operators (in fact $\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}$ is very similar to the unitary evolution $\mathcal{U}_{2,\text{mf}}^f$

in (10), emerging in the mean field limit for coherent initial data on the Fock space). Eq. (20) is the analogous of (10) for N -particle initial data exhibiting BEC; it provides a norm-approximation of the many-body evolution in the mean-field regime in terms of the Hartree equation (5) and of a time-dependent Bogoliubov transformation very similar to (11).

The convergence (20) has been extended to intermediate regimes with $\beta < 1/3$ in [37] and with $\beta < 1/2$ in [38]. Before that, a norm approximation similar to (10) for the evolution of coherent initial data on the Fock space has been obtained with $\beta < 1/3$ in [25] and with $\beta < 1/2$ in [32]. A heuristic argument from [32] also shows that (10) or (20) cannot hold true for $\beta > 1/2$.

In regimes with $\beta > 1/2$ the short scale correlation structure developed by the solution of the many-body Schrödinger equation cannot be appropriately described by a time-dependent Bogoliubov transformation satisfying an equation of the form (13). To take into account correlations, it is useful to consider the ground state of the Neumann problem

$$\left[-\Delta + \frac{1}{2N} V_N \right] f_N = \lambda_N f_N \quad (21)$$

on the ball $|x| \leq \ell$, for a fixed $\ell > 0$. We fix $f_N(x) = 1$, for $|x| = \ell$, and we extend f_N to \mathbb{R}^3 requiring that $f_N(x) = 1$ for all $|x| \geq \ell$. Because of the scaling of the potential V_N , the scattering process takes place in the region $|x| \ll 1$; for this reason, the precise choice of ℓ is not very important, as long as ℓ is of order one (nevertheless, λ_N and f_N depend on ℓ , a dependence that is kept implicit in our notation). It is also useful to define $\omega_N = 1 - f_N$. For N sufficiently large, we have (see [5, Lemma 2.1])

$$\lambda_N = \frac{3b_0}{8\pi N\ell^3} + O(N^{\beta-2})$$

where $b_0 = \int V(x) dx$, and, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$0 \leq \omega_N(x) \leq \frac{C}{N(|x| + N^{-\beta})}, \quad |\nabla \omega_N(x)| \leq \frac{C}{N(|x| + N^{-\beta})^2} \quad (22)$$

for a constant C , independent of N .

The solution of (21) can be used, first of all, to give a better approximation of the evolution of the condensate wave function, replacing the solution of the limiting nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4) with the solution of the modified, N -dependent, Hartree equation

$$i\partial_t \varphi_{N,t} = -\Delta \varphi_{N,t} + (V_N f_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) \varphi_{N,t} \quad (23)$$

with initial data $\varphi_{N,0} = \varphi_0$ describing the condensate at time $t = 0$. Standard arguments in the analysis of dispersive partial differential equations imply that (23) is globally well-posed and that it propagates regularity; in particular, if $\varphi_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then [5, Appendix B]

$$\|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{H^1} \leq C, \quad \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{H^4} \leq C e^{Ct}, \quad \|\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}\|_{H^2} \leq C e^{Ct}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, (21) can be used to describe correlations among particles. To this end, let

$$T_{N,t} = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy [k_{N,t}(x, y) a_x a_y - \text{h.c.}] \right) \quad (25)$$

with the integral kernel

$$k_{N,t}(x; y) = (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t}) [-N\omega_N(x - y) \varphi_{N,t}^2((x + y)/2)] \quad (26)$$

where $Q_{N,t} = 1 - |\varphi_{N,t}\rangle\langle\varphi_{N,t}|$ is the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of the solution of the modified Hartree equation (23).

Let us briefly explain the choice (25), (26). Since $T_{N,t}$ aims at generating correlations, it is natural to define its kernel $k_{N,t}$ through the solution of (21). In particular, the choice (25) guarantees a crucial cancellation in the generator of the fluctuation dynamics, defined in (62), which allows us to show the bounds (64) in Prop. 6. The cancellation is hidden in Prop. 9 and leads to the estimates (73). It combines the quadratic term on the fourth line on the r.h.s. of (71) with contributions arising from conjugation of the kinetic energy $d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ and of the quartic interaction on the last line of (71) with $T_{N,t}$, reconstructing (21).

It is important to observe that (26) is the integral kernel of a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Abusing notation and denoting by $k_{N,t}$ both the Hilbert-Schmidt operator and its integral kernel, we easily find (using (22) and (24))

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_{N,t}\|_{\text{HS}} &= \|k_{N,t}\|_2 \leq C \\ \|\nabla k_{N,t}\|_{\text{HS}} &= \|k_{N,t}\nabla\|_{\text{HS}} = \|\nabla_1 k_{N,t}\|_2 = \|\nabla_2 k_{N,t}\|_2 \leq CN^{\beta/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

These bounds reflect the idea that, through $T_{N,t}$, we only produce a bounded number of excitations, causing however a large change in the energy.

Notice that the action of the Bogoliubov transformation (25) on creation and annihilation operators is explicit. For any $f \in L^2_{\perp\varphi_{N,t}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} T_{N,t}a(f)T_{N,t}^* &= a(\cosh_{k_{N,t}}(f)) + a^*(\sinh_{k_{N,t}}(\bar{f})) \\ T_{N,t}a^*(f)T_{N,t}^* &= a^*(\cosh_{k_{N,t}}(f)) + a(\sinh_{k_{N,t}}(\bar{f})) \end{aligned}$$

where $\cosh_{k_{N,t}}$ and $\sinh_{k_{N,t}}$ are the linear operators defined by the absolutely convergent series

$$\cosh_{k_{N,t}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2n)!} (k_{N,t} \bar{k}_{N,t})^n, \quad \sinh_{k_{N,t}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} (k_{N,t} \bar{k}_{N,t})^n k_{N,t}. \quad (28)$$

Using the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,t}$ to implement correlations, one can construct norm approximations for the many-body evolution that are valid also in regimes with $\beta > 1/2$. For Fock space initial data, it was recently proven in [5] that, for every $0 < \beta < 1$ and for every N large enough, there exists a unitary evolution $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}^\beta$ with a time-dependent generator quadratic in creation and annihilation operators, such that

$$\left\| e^{-i\mathcal{H}_N t} W(\sqrt{N}\varphi) T_{N,0}^* \Omega - W(\sqrt{N}\varphi_{N,t}) T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{U}_{2,N}^f(t; 0) \Omega \right\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (to be more precise, in [5], the kernel $k_{N,t}$ was chosen slightly different, without the orthogonal projection $(Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t})$). In other words, for initial data of the form $W(\sqrt{N}\varphi) T_{N,0} \Omega$, describing an approximate coherent state, modified by the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,0}$ to take into account correlations, the full many-body time-evolution can be approximated in terms of the modified N -dependent Hartree equation (23) (describing the dynamics of the condensate), of the Bogoliubov transformation (25) (generating the correlation structure) and of the quadratic evolution $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}^f$ (which, similarly to (12), also acts as a time-dependent Bogoliubov transformation). Using a related approach, a similar result has been established in [26] for $\beta < 2/3$.

Our aim in the present paper is to obtain a norm-approximation for the many-body evolution of N -particle initial data exhibiting BEC for the whole range of parameters $0 < \beta < 1$. To reach this goal, we will combine ideas from [33] and [37, 38] with ideas from [5], in particular, with the idea of using Bogoliubov transformations of the form (25) to implement correlations. To state our main result, we define the unitary dynamics $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; s)$ as the two-parameter unitary group on the Fock space \mathcal{F} satisfying

$$i\partial_t \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; s) = \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; s), \quad \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(s; s) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (29)$$

with the time-dependent quadratic generator $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$ given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} = \eta_N(t) + (i\partial_t T_{N,t})T_{N,t}^* + \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\mathcal{V}} + \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\mathcal{K}} + \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\lambda_N} \quad (30)$$

with the phase $\eta_N(t)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N(t) = & \frac{N+1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N(1-2f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle - \mu_N(t) \\ & + \int dx (V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2)(x) \|\text{sh}_x\|^2 + \int dx \langle \nabla_x \text{sh}_x, \nabla_x \text{sh}_x \rangle \\ & + \int dx dy K_{1,N,t}(x; y) \langle \text{sh}_x, \text{sh}_y \rangle + \text{Re} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x; y) \langle \text{sh}_x, \text{ch}_y \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \left| \langle \text{sh}_x - \varphi_{N,t}(x) \text{sh}(\varphi_{N,t}), \text{ch}_y - \varphi_{N,t}(y) \text{ch}(\varphi_{N,t}) \rangle \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

with $\mu_N(t) = \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle$ and where the operators $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\mathcal{V}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\lambda_N}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\mathcal{K}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\mathcal{V}} = & \int dx (V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2)(x) [a^*(\text{ch}_x) a(\text{ch}_x) + a^*(\text{ch}_x) a^*(\text{sh}_x) \\ & + a(\text{ch}_x) a(\text{sh}_x) + a^*(\text{sh}_x) a(\text{sh}_x)] \\ & + \int dx dy K_{1,N,t}(x; y) [a^*(\text{ch}_x) a(\text{ch}_y) + a^*(\text{ch}_x) a^*(\text{sh}_y) \\ & + a(\text{ch}_y) a(\text{sh}_x) + a^*(\text{sh}_y) a(\text{sh}_x)] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x; y) [a_x^* a^*(\text{p}_y) + a_x^* a(\text{sh}_y) + a^*(\text{p}_x) a^*(\text{p}_y) + a^*(\text{p}_x) a(\text{sh}_y) \\ & + a_y^* a^*(\text{p}_x) + a_y^* a(\text{sh}_x) + a^*(\text{p}_y) a(\text{sh}_x) + a(\text{sh}_x) a(\text{sh}_y) + \text{h.c.}] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle \varphi_{N,t}, V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2 \varphi_{N,t} \rangle a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) \right. \\ & \left. - 2a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) a^*([V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) + \text{h.c.} \right], \\ \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\lambda_N} = & N \lambda_N \int dx dy f_N(x-y) \chi(|x-y| \leq \ell) \varphi_{N,t}^2((x+y)/2) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\mathcal{K}} = & \int dx [a_x^*(-\Delta_x) a_x + a_x^* a(-\Delta_x \text{p}_x) + a_x^* a^*(-\Delta_x \text{v}_x) + a_x^* a^*(-\Delta_x \text{r}_x) \\ & + a^*(-\Delta_x \text{p}_x) a(\text{ch}_x) + a^*(-\Delta_x \text{p}_x) a^*(\text{sh}_x) + a(-\Delta_x \text{r}_x) a_x \\ & + a(-\Delta_x \text{v}_x) a_x + a(\text{sh}_x) a(-\Delta_x \text{p}_x) + a^*(-\Delta_x \text{r}_x) a(\text{k}_x) \\ & + a^*(-\Delta_x \text{r}_x) a(\text{r}_x) + a^*(\text{k}_x) a(-\Delta_x \text{r}_x) + a^*(\nabla_x \text{k}_x) a(\nabla_x \text{k}_x)] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy N \omega_N(x-y) [\varphi_{N,t}((x+y)/2) \Delta \varphi_{N,t}((x+y)/2) \\ & + \nabla \varphi_{N,t}((x+y)/2) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{N,t}((x+y)/2)] a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1,N,t} &= Q_{N,t} \tilde{K}_{1,N,t} Q_{N,t} \\ K_{2,N,t} &= Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} \tilde{K}_{2,N,t} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{K}_{1,N,t}$ is the operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with integral kernel

$$\tilde{K}_{1,N,t}(x, y) = \varphi_{N,t}(x) V_N(x - y) \overline{\varphi_{N,t}(y)}$$

and $\tilde{K}_{2,N,t}$ is a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$\tilde{K}_{2,N,t}(x, y) = \varphi_{N,t}(x) V_N(x - y) \varphi_{N,t}(y).$$

Finally, we also use the notation $j_x(\cdot) := j(\cdot; x)$ for any $j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, with (28), we set

$$\text{sh} = \sinh_{k_{N,t}}, \quad \text{ch} = \cosh_{k_{N,t}}$$

and we decompose $\text{sh} = k + r$ and $\text{ch} = \mathbb{1} + p$ as well as

$$k_{N,t}(x; y) = -N\omega_N(x - y)\varphi_{N,t}^2((x + y)/2) + v(x; y); \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

We are now ready to state our first main result, providing a norm-approximation for the many-body evolution of N -particle initial data exhibiting BEC. To this end, let us first collect some conditions that will be required throughout the paper.

Hypothesis A: We assume that $0 < \beta < 1$. We suppose, moreover, the interaction potential V to be smooth, radially symmetric, compactly supported and pointwise non-negative. Furthermore, we choose f_N to be the solution of the Neumann problem (21) on the ball $|x| \leq \ell$, for a sufficiently small² (but fixed, independent of N) parameter $\ell > 0$. Finally, we let $\varphi_{N,t}$ be the solution of the N -dependent nonlinear Hartree equation (23) with initial data $\varphi_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark: We need V to have compact support to study the solution of (21) and to establish the bounds (22), following [5]. For the same reason (but also for the many-body analysis), we need some smoothness of V . The assumption $V \in L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is sufficient for our purposes; we do not aim at optimal conditions, here. The assumption $\varphi_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ allows us to show the bounds (24) for the solution of (23); these estimates play an important role in the analysis of the many-body dynamics (in particular, in the proof of Prop. 6, where we need control of $\|\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}\|_\infty$). One may be able to partially relax this assumption by using space-time norms; also here, we do not aim at optimal conditions.

Theorem 1. *Assume that Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ with $\|\xi_N\| = 1$ and*

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N})\xi_N \rangle \leq C. \quad (34)$$

Let $\Psi_{N,t}$ be the solution of the Schrödinger equation (1) with initial data

$$\Psi_{N,0} = U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \quad (35)$$

and let $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; s)$ be the unitary dynamics on \mathcal{F} defined in (29). Then, for all $\alpha < \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; 0) \xi_N\|^2 \leq C N^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \quad (36)$$

for all N sufficiently large and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since the quadratic evolution $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; s)$ depends on N , it is natural to ask what happens as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Proceeding similarly to [5], we observe that $k_{N,t}$ can be approximated, for large N , by the limiting kernel

$$k_t(x; y) = (Q_t \otimes Q_t) [-\omega_\infty(x - y)\varphi_t^2((x + y)/2)] \quad (37)$$

²The smallness of ℓ is used because it implies that the kernel $k_{N,t}$ introduced in (26) has a small Hilbert-Schmidt norm; this in turn implies that conjugation with the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,t}$ produces only small changes in the number of particles operator; see Proposition 8.

where φ_t is the solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4), $Q_t = \mathbb{1} - |\varphi_t\rangle\langle\varphi_t|$ is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of φ_t and where ω_∞ is given by

$$\omega_\infty(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{b_0}{8\pi} \left[\frac{1}{|x|} - \frac{3}{2\ell} + \frac{|x|^2}{2\ell^3} \right] & \text{for } |x| \leq \ell, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > \ell \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

where $b_0 = \int V(x) dx$. With k_t , we can define a new Bogoliubov transformation

$$T_t = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy k_t(x; y) a_x a_y - \text{h.c.} \right] \quad (39)$$

Replacing $\cosh_{k_{N,t}}$, $\sinh_{k_{N,t}}$, $p_{k_{N,t}}$ and $r_{k_{N,t}}$ by their counterparts \cosh_{k_t} , \sinh_{k_t} , p_{k_t} and r_{k_t} , replacing $\varphi_{N,t}$ by φ_t , the convolution $V_N * (\cdot)$ by $b_0 \delta * (\cdot)$, the eigenvalue $N\lambda_N$ by its first order approximation $3b_0/(8\pi\ell^3)$, $N\omega_N$ by ω_∞ and, finally, replacing $f_N = 1 - \omega_N$ by $f_\infty = 1$ in the operators $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^\nu$, $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^{\lambda_N}$, $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}^\kappa$ in (32) and (33), we can define limiting operators $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^\nu$, $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^\lambda$, $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^\kappa$ and we can use them to define the limiting generator

$$\mathcal{G}_{2,t} = (i\partial_t T_t) T_t^* + \mathcal{G}_{2,t}^\nu + \mathcal{G}_{2,t}^\kappa + \mathcal{G}_{2,t}^\lambda \quad (40)$$

and the corresponding limiting fluctuation dynamics \mathcal{U}_2 by

$$i\partial_t \mathcal{U}_2(t; s) = \mathcal{G}_{2,t} \mathcal{U}_2(t; s) \quad \mathcal{U}_2(s; s) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (41)$$

We are now ready to state our second main result.

Theorem 2. *Assume that Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_0}$ with $\|\xi_N\| = 1$ and (34). Let $\Psi_{N,t}$ be the solution of the Schrödinger equation (1) with initial data (35) and let $\mathcal{U}_2(t; 0)$ be the unitary dynamics on \mathcal{F} defined in (41). Then, for all $\alpha < \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t} - e^{-i \int_0^t d\tau \eta_N(\tau)} T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{U}_2(t; 0) \xi_N\|^2 \leq C N^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \quad (42)$$

for all N sufficiently large and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 apply to the study of the time-evolution of initial data of the form

$$\psi_{N,0} = U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \quad (43)$$

for a $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_0}$ satisfying the bound

$$\langle \xi_N, [\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N}] \xi_N \rangle \leq C \quad (44)$$

uniformly in N . It is natural to ask under which assumptions on $\psi_{N,0}$ is it possible to find $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_0}$ such that (43) and (44) hold true. The answer is given in our last main theorem.

Theorem 3. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\Psi_{N,0} \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with reduced one-particle density matrix $\gamma_{N,0}$ such that*

$$\text{tr} |\gamma_{N,0} - |\varphi_0\rangle\langle\varphi_0|| \leq C N^{-1} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \langle \Psi_{N,0}, H_N \Psi_{N,0} \rangle - \left[\|\nabla \varphi_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_0, (V_N f_n * |\varphi_0|^2) \varphi_0 \rangle \right] \right| \leq C N^{-1} \quad (46)$$

Let $\Psi_{N,t}$ be the solution of the Schrödinger equation (1) with initial data $\psi_{N,0}$ and let $\mathcal{U}_2(t; 0)$ be the unitary dynamics on \mathcal{F} defined in (41). Then, for all $\alpha < \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{N,t} U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t} - e^{-i \int_0^t d\tau \eta_N(\tau)} \mathcal{U}_2(t; 0) T_{N,0} U_{\varphi_{N,0}} \Psi_{N,0}\|^2 \\ & \leq C N^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

for all N sufficiently large and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remarks:

- 1) Recall that, although this is not reflected in our notation, the family of Bogoliubov transformations $T_{N,t}$ and the quadratic evolutions $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t;0)$ in Theorem 1 and $\mathcal{U}_2(t;0)$ in Theorem 2 and in Theorem 3 depend on the choice of the length scale $\ell > 0$ in (21). This parameter is chosen small enough, but fixed.
- 2) The bounds (36), (42) and (47) give norm approximations of the full many-body dynamics of initial data exhibiting BEC in terms of Fock space dynamics $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t;0)$ or $\mathcal{U}_2(t;0)$ with quadratic generators, of the family of time-dependent Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,t}$ and of the solution $\varphi_{N,t}$ of the modified Hartree equation.
- 3) We assumed the bounds (45) and (46) to hold with best possible rates N^{-1} , corresponding to initial data with bounded (i.e. N -independent) number of excitations and with bounded excitation energy. One could relax a bit this requirement allowing for more excitations and for a larger excitation energy but then, of course, the rate on the r.h.s. of (47) would deteriorate.
- 4) From the analysis of [11, Section 6], it is clear that one can also replace the condition (45) by the weaker bound

$$1 - \langle \varphi_0, \gamma_{N,0} \varphi_0 \rangle \leq CN^{-1} \quad (48)$$

if one additionally assumes that there exists a sufficiently regular external confining potential V_{ext} such that φ_0 minimizes the energy functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = & \int [|\nabla \varphi(x)|^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(x)|\varphi(x)|^2] dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) f_N(x-y) |\varphi(x)|^2 |\varphi(y)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

with the constraint $\|\varphi\| = 1$ and if one replaces the condition (46) by the similar bound

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \langle \psi_{N,0}, H_N^{\text{trap}} \psi_{N,0} \rangle - \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) \right| \leq CN^{-1}$$

for the Hamilton operator with confining potential $H_N^{\text{trap}} = H_N + \sum_{j=1}^N V_{\text{ext}}(x_j)$. The assumptions (48), (49) are expected to hold true if $\psi_{N,0}$ is the ground state of the trapped Hamiltonian H_N^{trap} . They describe experiments where particles are initially trapped by external fields and they are cooled down at temperatures so low that they essentially relax to the ground state.

- 5) The conditions (48)-(49), and hence (43)-(44), have been proved rigorously for the ground states (more generally, low-lying eigenstates) of trapped systems when either $\beta = 0$ (mean-field regime) [46, 24, 34, 15, 42, 44], or $0 < \beta < 1$ and particles are trapped in a unit torus without an external potential [9, 10].

Acknowledgements. We gratefully acknowledge support from the Swiss National Foundation of Science through the NCCR SwissMAP and the SNF Grant ‘‘Dynamical and energetic properties of Bose-Einstein condensates’’ (B.S.) and from the Polish National Science Center (NCN) grant No. 2016/21/D/ST1/02430 (M.N.).

2. OUTLINE OF THE PROOF

In this section we explain the overall strategy of the proof. As in Theorem 1, we denote by $\Psi_{N,t}$ the solution of the N -particle Schrödinger equation (1) with the initial

data $\Psi_{N,0} = U_{\varphi_{N,0}}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$, where $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi}^{\leq N}$ is such that

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{K}) \xi_N \rangle \leq C$$

uniformly in N . Furthermore, we denote by $\varphi_{N,t}$ the solution of the modified, N -dependent, nonlinear Hartree equation (23), with initial data $\varphi_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

2.1. Fluctuation evolution. First of all, we apply the map $U_{\varphi_{N,t}}$, defined in (15), to $\Psi_{N,t}$. This allows us to remove the condensate described at time t by $\varphi_{N,t}$ and to focus on the orthogonal fluctuations. We set

$$\Phi_{N,t} = U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t}, \quad (50)$$

and we observe that $\Phi_{N,t} \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$ satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t \Phi_{N,t} = \mathcal{L}_{N,t} \Phi_{N,t}, \quad (51)$$

with the initial data $\Phi_{N,0} = \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$ and the generator

$$\mathcal{L}_{N,t} = (i\partial_t U_{\varphi_{N,t}}) U_{\varphi_{N,t}}^* + U_{\varphi_{N,t}} H_N U_{\varphi_{N,t}}^*. \quad (52)$$

Using (16) and computing the first term on the r.h.s. of (52) as in [33], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{N,t} = & \frac{N+1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N(1-2f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle - \mu_N(t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)}{N} \\ & + \left[\sqrt{N} \left[a^*(Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) - a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \right] \sqrt{\frac{N-\mathcal{N}}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ & + d\Gamma \left(-\Delta + (V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2 + K_{1,N,t} - \mu_{N,t} \right) \\ & + d\Gamma \left(Q_{N,t}(V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} \right) - d\Gamma \left(Q_{N,t}(V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} + K_{1,N,t} \right) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* \frac{\sqrt{(N-\mathcal{N})(N-\mathcal{N}-1)}}{N} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') \varphi_{N,t}(y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} \sqrt{\frac{N-\mathcal{N}}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t})(x, y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} a_{y'} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

with

$$\mu_N(t) := \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle.$$

2.2. Modified fluctuation evolution. Next, we have to remove the singular correlation structure from $\Phi_{N,t}$. Since $\Psi_{N,t} = U_{\varphi_{N,t}}^* \Phi_{N,t}$ and since $U_{\varphi_{N,t}}^*$ just adds products of solutions of the nonlinear equation (23), it is clear that all correlations developed by $\Psi_{N,t}$ must be contained in $\Phi_{N,t}$. As a consequence, at least for $\beta > 1/2$, the time evolution of $\Phi_{N,t}$ cannot be generated by a quadratic Hamiltonian, not even approximately in the limit of large N . To remove correlations from $\Phi_{N,t}$ we would like to follow the idea of [5] and apply the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,t}$ defined in (25).

Unfortunately, $T_{N,t}$ does not preserve the number of particles, and therefore it does not leave the truncated Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$ invariant. Since $T_{N,t}$ only creates few particles (the bound (27) implies that $T_{N,t}\mathcal{N}T_{N,t}^* \leq C\mathcal{N}$), this should not be a serious obstacle. To circumvent it, it seems natural to give up the restriction on the number of particles and consider $\Phi_{N,t}$ as a vector in the untruncated Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_{N,t}}$. The drawback of this approach is the fact that the generator $\mathcal{L}_{N,t}$ computed in (53) is defined only on sectors with at most N particles. So, we proceed as follows; first we approximate $\Phi_{N,t}$ by a new, modified, fluctuation vector $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$, whose dynamics is governed by a modified generator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}$ which, on the one hand, is close to $\mathcal{L}_{N,t}$ when acting on vectors with a small number of particles and, on the other hand, is well-defined on the full untruncated Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_{N,t}}$. To define $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}$ we proceed as follows. Starting from the expression on the r.h.s. of (53), we replace first of all the factor $\sqrt{(N-\mathcal{N})(N-\mathcal{N}-1)}$ by $N-\mathcal{N}$; the error is small, since

$$|\sqrt{(N-x)(N-x-1)} - (N-x)| \leq 1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Secondly, we replace $\sqrt{N-\mathcal{N}}$ by $\sqrt{N}G_b(\mathcal{N}/N)$ where

$$G_b(t) := \sum_{n=0}^b \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2 4^n (1-2n)} t^n. \quad (54)$$

Indeed, the polynomial $G_b(t)$ is the Taylor series for $\sqrt{1-t}$ around $t=0$; it satisfies

$$|\sqrt{1-t} - G_b(t)| \leq Ct^{b+1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (55)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ depending on b . Here $b \in \mathbb{N}$ is a large, fixed number, that will be specified later.

Finally, we add a term of the form $C_b e^{C_b |t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}$ with a sufficiently large constant C_b that will also be specified later. Since the generators \mathcal{L}_N and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N$ will act on states with small number of particles, we expect this term to have a negligible effect on the dynamics (on the other hand, it allows us to better control the energy). With

these changes, we obtain the modified generator

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} = & \frac{N+1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N(1-2f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle - \mu_N(t) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)}{N} \\
& + \left[\sqrt{N} \left[a^*(Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) - a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \right] G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \text{h.c.} \right] \\
& + d\Gamma \left(-\Delta + (V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2 + K_{1,N,t} - \mu_{N,t} \right) \\
& + d\Gamma \left(Q_{N,t}(V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} \right) - d\Gamma \left(Q_{N,t}(V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} + K_{1,N,t} \right) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* \frac{N - \mathcal{N}}{N} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') \varphi_{N,t}(y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \text{h.c.} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t})(x, y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} a_{y'} \\
& + C_b e^{C_b |t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Using this modified generator, we define the modified fluctuation dynamics $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ as the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, \tag{57}$$

with the initial data $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,0} = T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$. Observe that $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}$. Indeed, arguing as in [33, Lemma 9], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|a(\varphi_{N,t}) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\|^2 &= i \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}, a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t})] \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \\
&+ 2 \text{Im} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, a^*(i\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t}) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

because, using that $[a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t}), \mathcal{N}] = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}, a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t})] &= [d\Gamma(-\Delta + (V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2), a^*(\varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t})] \\
&= a^*([-\Delta + (V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t}) - \text{h.c.} \\
&= a^*(i\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}) a(\varphi_{N,t}) - \text{h.c.}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice moreover that we find it more convenient to choose the initial data for the modified dynamics slightly different from the initial data for the original fluctuation dynamics (we do not include the cutoff to $\mathcal{N} \leq N$ in the definition of $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,0}$). Nevertheless, it is possible to prove that the two dynamics remain close; this is the content of the next lemma, which is the first step in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\Phi_{N,t}$ be as defined in (51) and $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ as in (57). Here, we assume that the parameters $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_b > 0$ in (56) are large enough, and that $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ is such that $\|\xi_N\| \leq 1$ and*

$$\langle \xi_N, [\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}] \xi_N \rangle \leq C \tag{59}$$

uniformly in N . Then, for all $\alpha < (1 - \beta)/2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi_{N,t} - \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\|^2 \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|))$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.3. Bogoliubov transformation. Next, we apply the Bogoliubov transformation (25) to the modified fluctuation evolution $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ defined in (57). We set

$$\xi_{N,t} = T_{N,t} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \quad (60)$$

Then $\xi_{N,t} \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}$ (with no restriction on the number of particles) and it solves the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \xi_{N,t} = \mathcal{G}_{N,t} \xi_{N,t}, \quad (61)$$

with the initial data $\xi_{N,0} = \xi_N$ and the generator

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,t} = (i\partial_t T_{N,t}) T_{N,t}^* + T_{N,t} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} T_{N,t}^*. \quad (62)$$

As explained above, the application of the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,t}$ takes care of correlations and makes it possible for us to approximate the evolution (61) with the unitary evolution $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}$, having the quadratic generator (30). This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 5. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\xi_{N,t}$ be defined as in (60) and $\xi_{2,N,t} = \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; 0) \xi_N$ with the unitary evolution $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}$ defined in (29). Here, we assume that the parameters $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_b > 0$ in (56) are large enough, and that $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ is such that $\|\xi_N\| \leq 1$ and (59) holds true. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\xi_{N,t} - \xi_{2,N,t}\|^2 \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\alpha = \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$.

Theorem 1 is a consequence of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, up to the remark that the assumption on the sequence $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ appearing in Theorem 1 is weaker than the assumption (59) appearing in both lemmas. So, to conclude the proof of Theorem 1, we need an additional localization argument, which will be explained in Section 5.

To prove Theorem 2 we will then compare $\xi_{2,N,t}$ with $\xi_{2,t} = \mathcal{U}_2(t; 0) \xi_N$, where \mathcal{U}_2 is the limiting evolution defined in (41), by controlling the difference between the two generators.

Finally, Theorem 3 will follow from Theorem 2, by proving that, under the assumptions (45) and (46), it is possible to write $\psi_{N,0} = U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbf{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$ with a sequence $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ satisfying the condition (34).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we show Lemma 5. In Section 4, we prove Lemma 4 making use of some energy estimates. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude the proof of our three main theorems.

3. ANALYSIS OF BOGOLIUBOV TRANSFORMED DYNAMICS

In this section, we prove Lemma 5. To this end, we need to study the properties of the generator $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ defined in (62).

Proposition 6. *Assume that Hypothesis A holds true. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and, for every fixed $b \in \mathbb{N}$, a constant $K_b > 0$ such that the generator $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ in (62) can be written as*

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,t} = \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} + \mathcal{V}_N + C_b e^{C_b |t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} + \mathcal{E}_{N,t} \quad (63)$$

with the quadratic generator $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$, defined as in (30), satisfying the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\pm(\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} - \eta_N(t) - \mathcal{K}) &\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ \pm[\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}, i\mathcal{N}] &\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ \pm\partial_t(\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} - \eta_N(t)) &\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1)\end{aligned}\tag{64}$$

and the error operator $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}$ such that, with $\alpha = \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\pm\mathcal{E}_{N,t} &\leq \delta\mathcal{V}_N + N^{-\beta/2}\mathcal{K} + Ce^{C|t|}\max(N^{-\alpha}, \delta^{-1})(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad + K_b e^{Ct}\max(\delta, \delta^{-1})\frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{N} \\ &\quad + \left[K_b\delta^{-1}e^{C|t|} + \frac{1}{2}C_b e^{C_b|t|}\right](\mathcal{N} + 1)(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}, \\ \pm i[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}_{N,t}] &\leq \delta\mathcal{V}_N + N^{-\beta/2}\mathcal{K} + Ce^{C|t|}\max(N^{-\alpha}, \delta^{-1})(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad + K_b e^{C|t|}\max(\delta, \delta^{-1})\frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{N} + K_b e^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1)(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}, \\ \pm\partial_t\mathcal{E}_{N,t} &\leq \delta\mathcal{V}_N + N^{-\beta/2}\mathcal{K} + Ce^{Ct}\max(N^{-\alpha}, \delta^{-1})(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad + K_b e^{C|t|}\max(\delta, \delta^{-1})\frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{N} + K_b e^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1)(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}\end{aligned}\tag{65}$$

for all $\delta > 0$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and for all choices of the constant C_b in the definition of $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ (recall that $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and C_b enter $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ through the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}$ in (56)).

As a simple corollary of Proposition 6, we can show that the expectation of the energy and the expectation and certain moments of the number of particles operator are approximately preserved along the evolution generated by $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$; this bound will play an important role in the rest of our analysis (in particular, in the proof of Lemma 11 below).

Corollary 7. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_0}$ with $\|\xi_N\| \leq 1$ and such that*

$$\langle \xi_N, [\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}] \xi_N \rangle \leq C\tag{66}$$

uniformly in N (where $b \in \mathbb{N}$ is the parameter entering the definition of $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ through (56)). Let $\xi_{N,t}$ be the solution of (61) and $\xi_{2,N,t} = \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t; 0)\xi_N$ with the quadratic dynamics $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}$ defined in (29). Then, for every $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and for sufficiently large $C_b > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi_{2,N,t}, [\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}] \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle &\leq C \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \\ \langle \xi_{N,t}, [\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}] \xi_{N,t} \rangle &\leq C \exp(C \exp(C|t|))\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. From (64) and (65) with $\delta = 1/2$ we find that, if $C_b > 0$ is large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{N,t} &\geq \eta_N(t) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_N - Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + \frac{1}{4}C_b e^{C_b|t|}\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \\ \mathcal{G}_{N,t} &\leq \eta_N(t) + 2\mathcal{H}_N + Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + 2C_b e^{C_b|t|}\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}\end{aligned}\tag{67}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
i[\mathcal{G}_{N,t}, \mathcal{N}] &\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + \mathcal{H}_N + K_b e^{C|t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \\
&\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t)) + Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\
\partial_t(\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t)) &\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + \mathcal{H}_N + K_b e^{C|t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \\
&\leq Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t)) + Ce^{C|t|}(\mathcal{N} + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

We have, for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t) + Ce^{Ct} \mathcal{N}) \xi_{N,t} \rangle \\
&= Ce^{Ct} \langle \xi_{N,t}, i[\mathcal{G}_{N,t}, \mathcal{N}] \xi_{N,t} \rangle + \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\partial_t (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t)) + C^2 e^{Ct} \mathcal{N}) \xi_{N,t} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (68),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t) + Ce^{Ct} \mathcal{N}) \xi_{N,t} \rangle \\
&\leq \tilde{C} \exp(\tilde{C}t) \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t) + Ce^{Ct}(\mathcal{N} + 1)) \xi_{N,t} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently large constant $\tilde{C} > 0$. Grönwall's lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \eta_N(t) + Ce^{Ct} \mathcal{N}) \xi_{N,t} \rangle \\
&\leq \tilde{C} \exp(\tilde{C} \exp(\tilde{C}t)) \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{G}_{N,0} - \eta_N(t) + C(\mathcal{N} + 1)) \xi_N \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

From (67), we conclude that, for a sufficiently large constant $C > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \xi_{N,t} \rangle \\
&\leq C \exp(C \exp(Ct)) \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + 1 + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \xi_N \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The case $t < 0$ can be treated analogously. To obtain the estimates for $\xi_{2,N,t}$ we follow exactly the same strategy, with generator $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ replaced by $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$. \square

An important ingredient in the proof of Proposition 6 is the following result, whose proof can be found, for example, in [11]; it controls the growth of moments of the number of particles operator under the action of the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,t}$.

Proposition 8. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true and let $T_{N,t}$ denote the Bogoliubov transformation defined in (25). Then, for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\pm (T_{N,t} \mathcal{N}^k T_{N,t}^* - \mathcal{N}^k) \leq \delta \mathcal{N}^k + C. \tag{69}$$

Remark that (69) requires smallness of the parameter $\ell > 0$ in (21) (an assumption that is included in Hypothesis A). With no assumption on the size of $\ell > 0$, (69) remains true, but only for $\delta > 0$ large enough.

To show Proposition 6, we are going to consider first a simplified version of the generator $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$, given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,t}^c = (i\partial_t T_{N,t}) T_{N,t}^* + T_{N,t} \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^c T_{N,t}^*. \tag{70}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_{N,t}^c$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{N,t}^c = & \frac{N+1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N(1-2f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle - \mu_N(t) \\
& + [\sqrt{N} a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) + \text{h.c.}] \\
& + d\Gamma\left(-\Delta + (V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2 + K_{1,N,t} - \mu_{N,t}\right) \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x,y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.}\right] \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x,y; x', y') \varphi_{N,t}(y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \text{h.c.}\right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t})(x,y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} a_{y'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

The reason for considering first the generator $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}^c$ is the fact that this is essentially the operator generating the fluctuation dynamics studied in [5] for approximately coherent initial data. The only difference is the fact that, here, we always project onto the orthogonal complement of $\varphi_{N,t}$. The presence of the projection Q_t , however, does not substantially affect the analysis of [5]. With only small and local modifications of the proof of [5, Theorem 3.1], we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 9. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}^c$ be as defined in (70). Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,t}^c = \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} + \mathcal{V}_N + \mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c \tag{72}$$

where the quadratic generator $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$ is defined in (30) and satisfies the estimates (64) and where there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the error operator $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c & \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + N^{-\beta/2} \mathcal{K} + C e^{C|t|} \max(N^{-\alpha}, \delta^{-1}) (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\
& \quad + C e^{C|t|} \max(\delta, \delta^{-1}) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 / N, \\
\pm i[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c] & \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + N^{-\beta/2} \mathcal{K} + C e^{C|t|} \max(N^{-\alpha}, \delta^{-1}) (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\
& \quad + C e^{C|t|} \max(\delta, \delta^{-1}) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 / N, \\
\pm \partial_t \mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c & \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + N^{-\beta/2} \mathcal{K} + C e^{C|t|} \max(N^{-\alpha}, \delta^{-1}) (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\
& \quad + C e^{C|t|} \max(\delta, \delta^{-1}) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 / N
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

for all $\delta > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that, in [5, Theorem 3.1], the operators \mathcal{K}^2 and \mathcal{N}^2 (the square of the kinetic energy and of the number of particles operators) are also used to control the error operator $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c$ (see, in particular, [5, Eq. (3.3)]). In (73), these operators do not appear; instead, we make use of the potential energy \mathcal{V}_N (which will be later bounded, on sectors with small number of particles, by the kinetic energy operator; see (79)).

Using Proposition 9, we can proceed with the proof of Proposition 6, where we only have to control the contributions to $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ arising from the difference $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} - \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^c$.

Proof of Proposition 6. From the definitions (62) and (70) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{N,t} = T_{N,t} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} - \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^c \right) T_{N,t}^* - C_b e^{C_b|t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} + \mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c \tag{74}$$

We already know from Proposition 9 that $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c$ satisfies the desired bounds. So, we focus on the first two terms on the r.h.s. of (74). Comparing (56) with (71), we conclude that

$$T_{N,t} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} - \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^c \right) T_{N,t}^* - C_b e^{C_b |t|} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} = \sum_{j=1}^7 B_j$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle T_{N,t} \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)}{N} T_{N,t}^* \\ B_2 &= T_{N,t} (\mathcal{L}_{N,t}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^{(3)}) (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - 1) T_{N,t}^* + \text{h.c.} \\ B_3 &= -T_{N,t} a^* (Q_{N,t} [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\sqrt{N}} G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) T_{N,t}^* + \text{h.c.} \\ B_4 &= T_{N,t} d\Gamma \left(Q_{N,t} (V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} \right) T_{N,t}^* \\ B_5 &= -T_{N,t} d\Gamma \left(Q_{N,t} (V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} + K_{1,N,t} \right) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} T_{N,t}^* \\ B_6 &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{N,t} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} T_{N,t}^* + \text{h.c.} \\ B_7 &= C_b e^{C_b |t|} \left(T_{N,t} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} T_{N,t}^* - \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we introduced the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^{(1)} &= \sqrt{N} a^* (Q_{N,t} [V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) + \text{h.c.} \\ \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') \varphi_{N,t}(y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we control the operators B_1, \dots, B_7 , one after the other.

Bound for B_1 : From Proposition 8 and (24), we find immediately

$$0 \leq B_1 \leq C(\mathcal{N}+1)^2/N.$$

Bound for B_2 : To bound the expectation of B_2 , we write

$$B_2 = \left[T_{N,t} \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^{(1)} T_{N,t}^* + T_{N,t} \mathcal{L}_{N,t}^{(3)} T_{N,t}^* \right] T_{N,t} (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - 1) T_{N,t}^* \quad (75)$$

The operator in the parenthesis can be computed as in [5, Section 3]. The most singular contribution is the cubic term

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x \varphi_{N,t}(y)$$

Inserted in (75), it produces an operator, let us denote it by \tilde{B}_2 , whose expectation can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi, \tilde{B}_2 \xi \rangle| &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \varphi_{N,t}(y) \langle \xi, a_x^* a_y^* a_x T_{N,t} (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - 1) T_{N,t}^* \xi \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(y)| \|a_x a_y \xi\| \|a_x T_{N,t} (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - 1) T_{N,t}^* \xi\| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2N} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \|a_x a_y \xi\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \delta^{-1} e^{C|t|} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \|a_x T_{N,t} (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - 1) T_{N,t}^* \xi\|^2 \\ &\leq \delta \langle \xi, \mathcal{V}_N \xi \rangle + K_b \delta^{-1} e^{C|t|} \langle \xi, (\mathcal{N}+1) \left[((\mathcal{N}+1)/N)^2 + ((\mathcal{N}+1)/N)^{2b} \right] \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$ and for an appropriate constant K_b depending on the choice of b . Here we used Proposition 8. Other terms contributing to B_2 can be bounded in a similar fashion. We conclude that

$$\pm B_2 \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + K_b \delta^{-1} e^{C|t|} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \left[((\mathcal{N} + 1)/N)^2 + ((\mathcal{N} + 1)/N)^{2b} \right]$$

Bound for B_3 : Let us now deal with B_3 . Since $\|Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2]\varphi_{N,t}\| \leq C \exp(C|t|)$, we obtain, with Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\pm B_3 \leq K_b \delta e^{C|t|} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{N} + K_b e^{C|t|} \delta^{-1} \mathcal{N} + K_b e^{C|t|} \delta^{-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1) (\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}$$

for every $\delta > 0$ and for an appropriate constant $K_b > 0$ depending on $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Bound for B_4 : From (22), we have

$$\|Q_{N,t}(V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2)Q_{N,t}\|_\infty \leq C N^{\beta-1} e^{C|t|}$$

Hence, with Proposition 8, we find

$$\pm B_4 \leq C N^{\beta-1} \leq C N^{\beta-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1)$$

Bound for B_5 : Similarly, since $\|K_{1,N,t}\| = \|Q_{N,t} \tilde{K}_{1,N,t} Q_{N,t}\| \leq \|\tilde{K}_{1,N,t}\|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{K}_{1,N,t}\| &= \sup_{\|f\|_{L^2}=1} \left| \int \overline{f(x)} \varphi_{N,t}(x) V_N(x-y) \overline{\varphi_{N,t}(y)} f(y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{L^2}=1} \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int \frac{|f(x)|^2 + |f(y)|^2}{2} V_N(x-y) dx dy \leq C e^{C|t|} \end{aligned}$$

and $\|Q_{N,t}(V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2)Q_{N,t}\|_\infty \leq C \exp(C|t|)$, we obtain with Proposition 8 that

$$\pm B_5 \leq C e^{C|t|} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{N}.$$

Bound for B_6 : Proceeding as in [5, Prop. 3.5] we find

$$\begin{aligned} B_6 &= -\frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x; y) \langle \text{sh}_x, \text{ch}_y \rangle T_{N,t} \mathcal{N} T_{N,t}^* \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \varphi_{N,t}(x) \varphi_{N,t}(y) a_x^* a_y^* T_{N,t} \mathcal{N} T_{N,t}^* \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{E}_{6,N} T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{N} T_{N,t} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{E}_{6,N}$ is such that

$$\mathcal{E}_{6,N}^2 \leq C e^{C|t|} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2. \tag{77}$$

Since

$$\left| \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x; y) \langle \text{sh}_x, \text{ch}_y \rangle \right| \leq C e^{C|t|},$$

the expectation of the first term on the r.h.s. of (76) is bounded, with Proposition 8, by

$$\left| \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x; y) \langle \text{sh}_x, \text{ch}_y \rangle \langle \xi, T_{N,t} \mathcal{N} T_{N,t}^* \xi \rangle \right| \leq C N^{-1} \langle \xi, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi \rangle$$

The expectation of the second term on the r.h.s. of (76) can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) \varphi_{N,t}(x) \varphi_{N,t}(y) \langle \xi, a_x^* a_y^* T_{N,t} \mathcal{N} T_{N,t}^* \xi \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(x)| |\varphi_{N,t}(y)| \|a_x a_y \xi\| \|T_{N,t} \mathcal{N} T_{N,t}^* \xi\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) [\delta \|a_x a_y \xi\|^2 + \delta^{-1} |\varphi_{N,t}(x)|^2 |\varphi_{N,t}(y)|^2 \|\mathcal{N} T_{N,t}^* \xi\|] \\
& \leq \delta \langle \xi, \mathcal{V}_N \xi \rangle + C \delta^{-1} N^{-1} e^{C|t|} \langle \xi, (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 \xi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where we used once again Proposition 8. As for the last term on the r.h.s. of (76), it can be estimated using (77) and Proposition 8. We conclude that

$$\pm B_6 \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + C \delta^{-1} e^{C|t|} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{N}$$

for any $\delta > 0$.

Bound for B_7 : with Proposition 8 we find

$$\pm B_7 \leq \frac{1}{2} C_b e^{C_b |t|} (\mathcal{N} + 1) ((\mathcal{N} + 1)/N)^{2b}$$

if $\ell > 0$ in (21) is chosen sufficiently small.

Combining all these bounds with the bounds (73) for the error term $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}^c$, we obtain the first estimate in (65) for the error term $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}$.

The bound for the commutator $i[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}_{N,t}]$ follows from the observation that the commutator with \mathcal{N} of every monomial A in creation and annihilation operators appearing in $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}$ is given by λA , where $\lambda \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$. Hence, $[i\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}_{N,t}]$ can be bounded exactly like we did for $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}$.

Similarly, the bound for the time-derivative $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{N,t}$ is established by noticing that the time derivative of every monomial A contributing to $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}$ is the sum of finitely many terms having again the same form of A , just with one factor $\varphi_{N,t}$ replaced by the time derivative $\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}$ (the generator $\mathcal{G}_{N,t}$ only depends on time through the solution $\varphi_{N,t}$ of the nonlinear Hartree equation (23)). Therefore, to bound $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{N,t}$ we proceed exactly as we did for $\mathcal{E}_{N,t}$, with the only difference that, sometimes, we have to use the bound for $\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}$ in (24) rather than the corresponding bound for $\varphi_{N,t}$. \square

With Proposition 6, we are now ready to prove Lemma 5.

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $\alpha = \min(\beta, 1 - \beta)/2$ and $M = N^\alpha$. We have

$$\|\tilde{\xi}_{N,t} - \xi_{2,N,t}\|^2 = 2[1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \xi_{N,t}, \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle]$$

and we decompose, with $M/2 \leq m \leq M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi_{N,t}, \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle &= \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbf{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle + \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbf{1}^{> m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \\
&= \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M [\langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbf{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle + \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbf{1}^{> m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle].
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation $\mathbf{1}^{\leq m} = \mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N} \leq m)$ and $\mathbf{1}^{> m} = \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}^{\leq m}$.

Many-particle sectors. From Cauchy-Schwarz and the bounds in Corollary 7, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbf{1}^{> m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle| &\leq \|\mathbf{1}^{> m} \xi_{N,t}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{1}^{> m} \xi_{2,N,t}\| \\
&\leq \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{N}/m) \xi_{N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi_{2,N,t}, (\mathcal{N}/m) \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \\
&\leq C M^{-1} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).
\end{aligned}$$

for a constant $C > 0$ depending on b . Averaging over $m \in [M/2 + 1, M]$, we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{>m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \right| \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \quad (78)$$

Few-particle sectors. From the Schrödinger equations for $\xi_{N,t}$ and $\xi_{2,N,t}$, we find

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle = \operatorname{Im} \left\langle \xi_{N,t}, \left[(\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} + [\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}] \right] \xi_{2,N,t} \right\rangle.$$

Using Proposition 6, in particular (65) with $\delta = N^\alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \\ & \leq \left[N^\alpha \mathcal{V}_N + N^\alpha (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 / N + (\mathcal{N} + 1)(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} + N^{-\alpha} (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1) \right] C \exp(Ct) \end{aligned}$$

for a constant $C > 0$ depending on b . We choose $b \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that $2b(\alpha - 1) < -\alpha$ (i.e. $b > \alpha/(2(1 - \alpha))$). Then, using the simple operator estimate

$$0 \leq \mathcal{V}_N \leq CN^{\beta-1} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{N} \quad (79)$$

which follows by quantization of the two-body estimate $V_N(x - y) \leq CN^\beta (-\Delta_x - \Delta_y)$, projecting to the sector with $\mathcal{N} \leq m + 2$ (where $m \leq N^\alpha$), and using also the inequality $2\alpha - 1 < -\alpha$ (since, by definition, $\alpha < 1/4$) we find

$$\pm \mathbb{1}^{\leq m+2} (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m+2} \leq CN^{-\alpha} (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1) \exp(C|t|). \quad (80)$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$ contains terms with at most two creation operators, we have the obvious identity

$$(\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} = \mathbb{1}^{\leq m+2} (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m+2} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}.$$

From (80) we find, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle| \\ & = |\langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m+2} (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m+2} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle| \\ & \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_{N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \langle \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t}, (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Inserting the energy estimates in Corollary 7, we find that

$$|\langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{G}_{N,t} - \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle| \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(\exp(C|t|)).$$

In (81), we used the fact that, if D is a self-adjoint and F a non-negative operator on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{h} with $\pm D \leq F$ then, for every $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have (using the fact that $D + F \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \phi, D\psi \rangle| & \leq |\langle \phi, (D + F)\psi \rangle| + |\langle \phi, F\psi \rangle| \\ & \leq \kappa \langle \phi, (D + F)\phi \rangle + \kappa^{-1} \langle \psi, (D + F)\psi \rangle + \kappa \langle \phi, F\phi \rangle + \kappa^{-1} \langle \psi, F\psi \rangle \\ & \leq 3\kappa \langle \phi, F\phi \rangle + 3\kappa^{-1} \langle \psi, F\psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for every $\kappa > 0$. With $\kappa = \langle \psi, F\psi \rangle^{1/2} \langle \phi, F\phi \rangle^{-1/2}$, we find

$$|\langle \phi, D\psi \rangle| \leq 6 \langle \phi, F\phi \rangle^{1/2} \langle \psi, F\psi \rangle^{1/2}$$

Next, we turn to the commutator $[\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}]$. We observe that

$$[\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}] = \mathbb{1}^{>m} \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} - \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} \mathbb{1}^{>m}. \quad (82)$$

Consider the first term on the r.h.s. of (82). Only terms in $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$ with two creation operators give a non-vanishing contribution; hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_1, \mathbb{1}^{>m} \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_2 \rangle \\ = \langle \xi_1, [\chi(\mathcal{N} = m+2) \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} \chi(\mathcal{N} = m) + \chi(\mathcal{N} = m+1) \mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} \chi(\mathcal{N} = m)] \xi_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Estimating terms in $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$ with two creation operators similarly as in Proposition 9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \xi_{N,t}, i[\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}] \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \right| \\ \leq CM^{-1} \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{N}+1) \xi_{N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi_{2,N,t}, (\mathcal{N}+1) \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \\ \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

where we used Corollary 7 and the choice $M = N^\alpha$. In summary, we have proved that

$$\left| \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \frac{d}{dt} \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \right| \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \\ \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \xi_{N,0}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,0} \rangle - CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

With the assumption (59) on the initial datum $\xi_{N,0} = \xi_{2,N,0} = \xi_N$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{N,0}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,0} \rangle &= \|\mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_N\|^2 = 1 - \|\mathbb{1}^{>m} \xi_N\|^2 \\ &\geq 1 - \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{N}/m) \xi_N \rangle \geq 1 - CM^{-1} = 1 - CN^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \xi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \geq 1 - CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

Combining the latter bound with (78), we arrive at

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \xi_{N,t}, \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle \geq 1 - CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

We conclude that

$$\|\xi_{N,t} - \xi_{2,N,t}\|^2 \leq 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \xi_{N,t}, \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle) \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

□

The localization argument used in the above proof is similar to that in [38, 39]. The main idea is to employ the operator inequality (79) in the sector of few particles. This argument will be used again below.

4. APPROXIMATION OF FLUCTUATION DYNAMICS

In this section, we show Lemma 4. To this end, we will make use of the following energy estimates.

Lemma 10. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_\perp$ with $\|\xi_N\| \leq 1$ and*

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}^2/N) \xi_N \rangle \leq C, \quad (83)$$

uniformly in N . Let $\Phi_{N,t}$ be as defined in (51). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N}) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle \leq CN^\beta \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \quad (84)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We recall that $\Phi_{N,t}$ solves the Schrödinger equation (51) with the generator (52) that can be decomposed into

$$\mathcal{L}_{N,t} = C_{N,t} + \mathcal{H}_{N,t} + \mathcal{R}_{N,t}$$

with the constant part

$$C_{N,t} = \frac{N+1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N(1-2f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle - \mu_{N,t}, \quad (85)$$

the projected Hamilton operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{N,t} &= d\Gamma(-\Delta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy dx' dy' [(Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t}) V_N (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t})] (x, y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} a_{y'} \end{aligned}$$

and the rest

$$\mathcal{R}_{N,t} = \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^i$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)}{N} \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^2 &= \left[a^*(Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) - a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \right] \sqrt{N-\mathcal{N}} \\ &+ \text{h.c.} \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^3 &= d\Gamma\left((V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2 + K_{1,N,t} - \mu_{N,t}\right) + d\Gamma\left(Q_{N,t}(V_N \omega_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t}\right) \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^4 &= -d\Gamma\left(Q_{N,t}(V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2) Q_{N,t} + K_{1,N,t}\right) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^5 &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^6 &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* \left(\frac{\sqrt{(N-\mathcal{N})(N-\mathcal{N}-1)}}{N} - 1 \right) + \text{h.c.} \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^7 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') \\ &\quad \times a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} \varphi_{N,t}(y') \sqrt{\frac{N-\mathcal{N}}{N}} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The proof of Lemma 10 is divided into three steps. In the first step, we bound the rest operator $\mathcal{R}_{N,t}$, its commutator with \mathcal{N} and its time derivative, through the number of

particles operator \mathcal{N} and the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_N . In the second step we use these bounds and, with Grönwall's Lemma, we control the expectation on the r.h.s. of (84) in terms of its initial value at time $t = 0$. Finally, in the third step, we control the expectation of \mathcal{H}_N and \mathcal{N} in the initial state $\Phi_{N,0} = T_{N,0}\xi_N$ through the expectation of the same operators in the state ξ_N , making use of the assumption (83).

Step 1. We claim that, for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t} &\leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + C e^{C|t|} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta) \\ \pm i[\mathcal{R}_{N,t}, \mathcal{N}] &\leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + C_\varepsilon e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta) \\ \pm \partial_t \mathcal{R}_{N,t} &\leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + C_\varepsilon e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

as operator inequality on $\mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$. We will focus on the proof of the bound for $\mathcal{R}_{N,t}$. The other two estimates in (87) can be shown similarly, since the commutator $i[\mathcal{R}_{N,t}, \mathcal{N}]$ and the derivative $\partial_t \mathcal{R}_{N,t}$ contain the same terms appearing in $\mathcal{R}_{N,t}$, multiplied by a constant in $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ in the first case and with a factor $\varphi_{N,t}$ replaced by its derivative $\partial_t \varphi_{N,t}$ in the second case. We follow here [38, Theorem 3], where more details can be found.

Step 1.1: Since

$$\langle \varphi_{N,t}, [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle \leq \|V_N\|_{L^1} \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^4}^4 \leq C$$

and $\mathcal{N}/N \leq 1$ on the truncated Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$, we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^1 \leq C\mathcal{N}.$$

Step 1.2: We divide $\mathcal{R}_{N,t}^2 = \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,1} + \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,2}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,1} &= \left[a^*(Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \right] \sqrt{N - \mathcal{N}} + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,2} &= \sqrt{N} \left[a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \right] \sqrt{\frac{N - \mathcal{N}}{N}} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we find, for arbitrary $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$,

$$\left| \langle \xi, \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,1} \xi \rangle \right| \leq \sqrt{N} \left\| Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \right\|_2 \|\mathcal{N}^{1/2} \xi\| \|\xi\|$$

Since, with (22),

$$\left\| Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| [(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C N^{\beta-1} e^{C|t|}$$

we conclude that

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,1} \leq C e^{C|t|} (N^{2\beta-1} + \mathcal{N}) \leq C e^{C|t|} (N^\beta + \mathcal{N}).$$

As for the second term in (88), using

$$\left\| [V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C,$$

the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the fact that, on $\mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$, $\mathcal{N}/N \leq 1$, we find hat

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^{2,2} \leq C e^{C|t|} \mathcal{N}.$$

Step 1.3: Recall that for an operator B on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have $\pm d\Gamma(B) \leq \|B\|\mathcal{N}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(V_N f_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|V_N f_N\|_{L^1} \leq C e^{Ct} \\ |\mu_{N,t}| &= |\langle \varphi_{N,t}, [(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t} \rangle| \\ &\leq C N^{\beta-1} e^{C|t|} \\ \|Q_{N,t}(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2 Q_{N,t}\| &\leq \|(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C N^{\beta-1} e^{C|t|} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{1,N,t}\| &= \|Q_{N,t} \tilde{K}_{1,N,t} Q_{N,t}\| \leq \|\tilde{K}_{1,N,t}\| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{L^2}=1} \left| \int \overline{f(x)} \varphi_{N,t}(x) V_N(x-y) \overline{\varphi_{N,t}(y)} f(y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^\infty}^2}{2} \sup_{\|f\|_{L^2}=1} \int (|f(x)|^2 + |f(y)|^2) V_N(x-y) dx dy \leq C e^{C|t|} \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

we conclude that

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^3 \leq C e^{C|t|} \mathcal{N}.$$

Step 1.4: Proceeding similarly to Step 3 and using the fact that $d\Gamma(B)$ commutes with \mathcal{N} , we find

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^4 \leq C e^{C|t|} \mathcal{N}.$$

Step 1.5: To bound the term $\mathcal{R}_{N,t}^5$ we observe that, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta d\Gamma(1 - \Delta) \pm \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ \geq -\frac{1}{2\delta} \left\| (1 - \Delta)^{-1/2} K_{2,N,t}^* \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \geq -\frac{1}{2\delta} \left\| (1 - \Delta)^{-1/2} \tilde{K}_{2,N,t}^* \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

from [40, Lemma 9]. Since $\tilde{K}_{2,N,t}(x; y) = V_N(x - y) \varphi_{N,t}(x) \varphi_{N,t}(y)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (1 - \Delta)^{-1/2} \tilde{K}_{2,N,t}^* \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \\ &= \text{tr } \tilde{K}_{2,N,t} (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \tilde{K}_{2,N,t}^* \\ &= C \int dx dy dz V_N(x - y) \frac{e^{-|y-z|}}{|y-z|} V_N(z - x) |\varphi_{N,t}(x)|^2 \varphi_{N,t}(y) \varphi_{N,t}(z) \\ &\leq \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_\infty^2 \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_2^2 \int V_N(z) \left[V_N * \frac{1}{|\cdot|} \right](z) dz \\ &\leq C e^{C|t|} \int \frac{|\widehat{V}_N(p)|^2}{p^2} dp = C e^{C|t|} \int \frac{|\widehat{V}(p/N^\beta)|^2}{p^2} dp \leq C N^\beta e^{C|t|} \int \frac{|\widehat{V}(p)|^2}{p^2} dp \\ &\leq C N^\beta e^{C|t|} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^5 = \pm \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \right] \leq \delta d\Gamma(1 - \Delta) + C \delta^{-1} N^\beta e^{C|t|}$$

Step 1.6: To bound $\mathcal{R}_{N,t}^6$, we observe that, by Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi, \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^6 \xi \rangle| &\leq C \int dx dy V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(x)| |\varphi_{N,t}(y)| \|a_x a_y \xi\| \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left(\frac{\sqrt{N-\mathcal{N}}(N-\mathcal{N}-1)}{N} - 1 \right) \xi \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(x)| |\varphi_{N,t}(y)| \|a_x a_y \xi\| \|(\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2} \xi\| \\ &\leq \delta \langle \xi, \mathcal{V}_N \xi \rangle + C \delta^{-1} \|(\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2} \xi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^6 \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + C \delta^{-1} (\mathcal{N}+1)$$

Step 1.7: For $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}^{\leq N}$, we have, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi, \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^7 \xi \rangle| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(y)| \|a_x a_y \xi\| \left\| a_x \sqrt{\frac{N-\mathcal{N}}{N}} \xi \right\| dx dy \\ &\leq \delta \langle \xi, \mathcal{V}_N \xi \rangle + C \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_\infty^2 \langle \xi, \mathcal{N} \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\pm \mathcal{R}_{N,t}^7 \leq \delta \mathcal{V}_N + C \delta^{-1} e^{C|t|} \mathcal{N}$$

Combining the results of Step 1.1 - Step 1.7, we obtain (87).

Step 2. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N}) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle \leq C \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \langle \Phi_{N,0}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + N^\beta) \Phi_{N,0} \rangle \quad (90)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We focus on $t > 0$ (the case $t < 0$ can be handled similarly). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - C_{N,t} + C e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta)) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle \\ = C e^{Ct} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, i[\mathcal{R}_{N,t}, \mathcal{N}] \Phi_{N,t} \rangle + \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\partial_t \mathcal{R}_{N,t} + C^2 e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta)) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The second and third bound in (87) imply that there exists a constant \tilde{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - C_{N,t} + C e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta)) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle \\ \leq \tilde{C} e^{\tilde{C}t} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - C_{N,t} + C e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta)) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Grönwall's Lemma gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - C_{N,t} + C e^{Ct} (\mathcal{N} + N^\beta)) \Phi_{N,t} \rangle \\ \leq \tilde{C} \exp(\tilde{C} \exp(\tilde{C}t)) \langle \Phi_{N,0}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,0} - C_{N,0} + \mathcal{N} + N^\beta) \Phi_{N,0} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality in (87) implies (90).

Step 3. To finish the proof we need to show that, with the assumption

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}^2/N) \xi_N \rangle \leq C, \quad (91)$$

we have

$$\langle \Phi_{N,0}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N}) \Phi_{N,0} \rangle \leq C N^\beta. \quad (92)$$

To reach this goal, we observe, first of all, that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_{N,0}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N}) \Phi_{N,0} \rangle &= \langle \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \xi_N, T_{N,0} \mathcal{H}_N T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \rangle + C \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

by Proposition 8 and (91). To bound the remaining expectation on the r.h.s. of (93), we compute (see [5, Section 3, in particular Prop. 3.3 and Prop. 3.11])

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{N,0} \mathcal{H}_N T_{N,0}^* \\
&= \mathcal{H}_N + \|\nabla_2 \text{sinh}_{k_{N,0}}\|^2 + N \int dxdy [\Delta\omega_N(x-y)\varphi_0^2((x+y)/2) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.}] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) |\langle \text{sh}_x - \varphi_0(x) \text{sh}_{k_{N,0}}(\varphi_0), \text{ch}_y - \varphi_0(y) \text{ch}_{k_{N,0}}(\varphi_0) \rangle|^2 \quad (94) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) [-\omega_N(x-y)\varphi_0^2((x+y)/2) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.}] + \delta_N.
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation sh_x to indicate the function $\text{sh}_x(z) = \sinh_{k_{N,0}}(x; z)$ and similarly for ch_x (in this case, a distribution) and where the operator δ_N is such that

$$\pm \delta_N \leq \mathcal{H}_N + C(\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}^2/N + 1)$$

(in fact, the constant in front of \mathcal{H}_N could be chosen arbitrarily small, but we are not going to use this fact here). With (27), we find

$$\|\nabla_2 \text{sinh}_{k_{N,0}}\|^2 \leq CN^\beta$$

Furthermore, integrating by parts, using (22), the assumption $\varphi_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and (91), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| N \int dxdy \Delta\omega_N(x-y)\varphi_0^2((x+y)/2) \langle \xi_N, a_x^* a_y^* \xi_N \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \int dx \|a_x \xi_N\| \|a^*(N\nabla\omega_N(x-\cdot)\nabla_x \varphi_0^2((x+\cdot)/2)) \xi_N\| \\
& + \int dx \|\nabla_x a_x \xi_N\| \|a^*(N\nabla\omega_N(x-\cdot)\varphi_0^2((x+\cdot)/2)) \xi_N\| \\
& \leq \|(\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2} \xi_N\| \int dx \|a_x \xi_N\| \|N\nabla\omega_N(x-\cdot)\nabla_x \varphi_0^2((x+\cdot)/2)\|_2 \\
& + \|(\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2} \xi_N\| \int dx \|\nabla_x a_x\| \|N\nabla\omega_N(x-\cdot)\varphi_0^2((x+\cdot)/2)\|_2 \\
& \leq CN^\beta \|(\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{K} + 1)^{1/2} \xi_N\|^2 \leq CN^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the fourth term on the r.h.s. of (94). The most singular contribution is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) |\langle \text{sh}_x, \text{ch}_y \rangle|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) |\text{sh}_{k_{N,0}}(x; y)|^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) \left| \int dz \text{sh}_{k_{N,0}}(x; z) \text{p}(y; z) \right|^2 \\
& \leq N^{\beta-1} (\|\nabla_1 \text{sh}_{k_{N,0}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_2 \text{sh}_{k_{N,0}}\|^2) + \frac{1}{2N} \int dxdy V_N(x-y) \|\text{sh}_x\|^2 \|\text{p}_y\|^2 \\
& \leq CN^{2\beta-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Cauchy-Schwarz and the operator inequality

$$V_N(x-y) \leq CN^\beta (-\Delta_x - \Delta_y).$$

Finally, let us consider the fifth term on the r.h.s. of (94). Using Cauchy-Schwarz, (22) and (24), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \omega_N(x-y) \varphi_0^2((x+y)/2) \langle \xi, a_x^* a_y^* \xi \rangle \right| \\ & \leq C \langle \xi, \mathcal{V}_N \xi \rangle + C \int dx dy V_N(x-y) N |\omega_N(x-y)|^2 |\varphi_0((x+y)/2)|^4 \\ & \leq C \delta \langle \xi, \mathcal{V}_N \xi \rangle + C N^{2\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

From (94), we conclude with (91) that

$$\langle \xi, T_{N,0} \mathcal{H}_N T_{N,0}^* \xi \rangle \leq C N^\beta$$

Together with (93), this implies (92). \square

A bound similar to the one in Lemma 10 also holds for the modified evolution $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ introduced in (57).

Lemma 11. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ with $\|\xi_N\| \leq 1$ and*

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \xi_N \rangle \leq C,$$

uniformly in N . Let $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ be as defined in (57). We assume here that the parameter $C_b > 0$ in (56) is large enough. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \leq C N^\beta \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \quad (95)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Consider the Bogoliubov transformed dynamics $\xi_{N,t} = T_{N,t} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ as defined in (60). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle &= \langle \xi_{N,t}, T_{N,t} (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) T_{N,t}^* \xi_{N,t} \rangle \\ &\leq C N^\beta \langle \xi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \xi_{N,t} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we proceeded exactly as in Step 3 in the proof of Lemma 10 to bound the expectation of $T_{N,t} \mathcal{H}_N T_{N,t}^*$ and we applied Proposition 8 to bound the other terms. Now we apply Corollary 7 to conclude that, if $\ell > 0$ is small enough in (21) and if $C_b > 0$ is large enough in (56), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \leq C N^\beta \exp(C \exp(C|t|))$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Remark that Corollary 7 and Proposition 8 actually imply the stronger (compared with (95)) estimate $\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, \mathcal{N} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \leq C \exp(C \exp(C|t|))$ for the expectation of \mathcal{N} .

Using Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 we are now ready to prove Lemma 4.

Proof of Lemma 4. Note that

$$\|\Phi_{N,t} - \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\|^2 = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle).$$

With the notation $\mathbb{1}^{\leq m} = \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{N} \leq m)$ and $\mathbb{1}^{> m} = 1 - \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}$, we can decompose

$$\langle \Phi_{N,t}, \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle = \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle + \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{> m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle. \quad (96)$$

Instead of fixing m , we take the average over $m \in [M/2 + 1, M]$ with an even number $1 \ll M \ll N$. This gives

$$\langle \Phi_{N,t}, \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle = \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \left(\langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle + \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{> m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right). \quad (97)$$

We are going to choose $M = N^{1-\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ a sufficiently small that will be specified later. Next, we estimate the two terms on the r.h.s. of (97).

Many-particle sectors. With $\mathbb{1}^{>m} \leq \mathcal{N}/m$ and Lemma 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle| &\leq \|\Phi_{N,t}\| \|\mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\| \\ &\leq \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}, (\mathcal{N}/m) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{N^\beta}{M}} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M |\langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{N^\beta}{M}} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \quad (98)$$

Few-particle sectors. From the Schrödinger equations (51) and (57) for $\Phi_{N,t}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle = \operatorname{Im} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} - \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle$$

We can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} - \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} = (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} + [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}].$$

Bound for $(\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} &= A_1 \left[\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}/N} - G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) \right] \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} + \text{h.c.} \\ &\quad + A_2 \frac{\sqrt{(N - \mathcal{N})(N - \mathcal{N} - 1)} - (N - \mathcal{N})}{N} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} + \text{h.c.} \\ &\quad - C_b e^{C_b t} \mathcal{N} (\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

with the two operators

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sqrt{N} [a^*(Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) - a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t})(\mathcal{N}/N)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} \varphi_{N,t}(y') \\ A_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,t}(x; y) a_x^* a_y^*. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

To bound the r.h.s. of (99) we are going to use the following proposition.

Proposition 12. *Assume the interaction potential V to be smooth, spherically symmetric, compactly supported and non-negative. Then, for all vectors $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}$, we have the bounds*

$$|\langle \xi_1, A_1 \xi_2 \rangle| \leq C \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_1, (N^{2\beta-1} + (\mathcal{N}/N)^2 + \mathcal{V}_N) \xi_1 \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi_2, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_2 \rangle^{1/2}$$

and

$$|\langle \xi_1, A_2 \xi_2 \rangle| \leq C \sqrt{N} \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_1, \mathcal{V}_N \xi_1 \rangle^{1/2} \|\xi_2\|.$$

Proof. First we consider A_1 . Using

$$a^*(g) a(g) \leq a(g) a^*(g) \leq (\mathcal{N} + 1) \|g\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^2} &\leq \|[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|V_N\|_{L^1} \|\omega_N\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq CN^{\beta-1} \exp(C|t|),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \xi_1, \sqrt{N} a^*(Q_{N,t}[(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \xi_2 \rangle| \\ & \leq CN^{\beta-1/2} \exp(C|t|) \|\xi_1\| \langle \xi_2, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_2 \rangle^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\langle \xi_1, a^*(Q_{N,t}[V_N * |\varphi_{N,t}|^2] \varphi_{N,t}) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \xi_2 \rangle| \leq C \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_1, (\mathcal{N}/N)^2 \xi_1 \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi_2, \mathcal{N} \xi_2 \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Moreover³

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle \xi_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy dx' dy' (Q_{N,t} \otimes Q_{N,t} V_N Q_{N,t} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} \varphi_{N,t}(y') \xi_2 \right\rangle \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \varphi_{N,t}(y) \langle a_x a_y \xi_1, a_x \xi_2 \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(y)| \|a_x a_y \xi_1\| \|a_x \xi_2\| \\ & \leq \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^\infty} \left(\frac{1}{N} \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \|a_x a_y \xi_1\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int dx dy V_N(x-y) \|a_x \xi_2\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_1, \mathcal{V}_N \xi_1 \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi_2, \mathcal{N} \xi_2 \rangle^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the bound for A_2 , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi_1, A_2 \xi_2 \rangle| & = \left| \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \varphi_{N,t}(x) \varphi_{N,t}(y) \langle a_x a_y \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \|\varphi_{N,t}\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int dx dy V_N(x-y) \|a_x a_y \xi_1\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int dx dy V_N(x-y) |\varphi_{N,t}(x)|^2 \|\xi_2\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \sqrt{N} \exp(C|t|) \langle \xi_1, \mathcal{V}_N \xi_1 \rangle^{1/2} \|\xi_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of the proposition. \square

We control now the operators on the r.h.s. of (99). Obviously,

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \leq CM(M/N)^{2b}.$$

and, therefore,

$$|\langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle| \leq CM(M/N)^{2b}.$$

Using Proposition 12 with

$$\xi_1 = \Phi_{N,t}, \quad \xi_2 = [\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}/N} - G_b(\mathcal{N}/N)] \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t},$$

combined with the simple bound

$$|\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}/N} - G_b(\mathcal{N}/N)| \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \leq C(M/N)^{b+1}$$

that follows from (55) and with the estimates in Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, we obtain

$$\left| \langle \Phi_{N,t}, A_1(\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}/N} - G_b(\mathcal{N}/N)) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right| \leq C(M/N)^{b+1} N^\beta \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

Using again Proposition 12 with

$$\xi_1 = \Phi_{N,t}, \quad \xi_2 = [\sqrt{(N - \mathcal{N})(N - \mathcal{N} - 1)} - N - \mathcal{N}] \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t},$$

³Note that the projection $Q_{N,t}$ has no effect in the excited Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}$

the simple bound

$$|\sqrt{(N - \mathcal{N})(N - \mathcal{N} - 1)} - N - \mathcal{N}| \leq 1,$$

and the bounds in Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, we also obtain

$$\left| \langle \Phi_{N,t}, A_2 \frac{\sqrt{(N - \mathcal{N})(N - \mathcal{N} - 1)} - N - \mathcal{N}}{N} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right| \leq CN^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

The hermitian conjugated terms can be controlled analogously (Proposition 12 provides bounds for A_1^*, A_2^* , as well, switching ξ_1 and ξ_2). In summary, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \Phi_{N,t}, (\mathcal{L}_{N,t} - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right| \\ & \leq C \left[N^{\beta-1} + M(M/N)^{2b} + (M/N)^{b+1} N^\beta \right] \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

Bound for $[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}]$. We can decompose

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}] = \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{>m} - \mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}. \quad (101)$$

Let us focus on $\mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}$; the other term can be treated similarly. With the operators A_1, A_2 defined in (100), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} &= \mathbb{1}^{>m} \left(A_1 G_p(\mathcal{N}/N) + A_2 \frac{N - \mathcal{N}}{N} \right) \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \\ &= A_1 G_p(\mathcal{N}/N) \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{N} = m) + A_2 \frac{N - \mathcal{N}}{N} \mathbb{1}(m - 1 \leq \mathcal{N} \leq m). \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Here we used the fact that A_1 creates exactly one particle while A_2 creates exactly two particles. All other terms in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}$ leave the number of particles invariant, and therefore do not contribute to (102). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \mathbb{1}^{>m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t} \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} &= A_1 G_p(\mathcal{N}/N) \mathbb{1}(M/2 < \mathcal{N} \leq M) \\ &+ A_2 \frac{N - \mathcal{N}}{N} \left[\mathbb{1}(M/2 < \mathcal{N} \leq M) + \mathbb{1}(M/2 \leq \mathcal{N} < M) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 12 with

$$\xi_1 = \Phi_{N,t}, \quad \xi_2 = G_p(\mathcal{N}/N) \mathbb{1}(M/2 < \mathcal{N} \leq M) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t},$$

combined with the simple estimate (recall that we will choose $M \ll N$)

$$|G_p(\mathcal{N}/N)| \mathbb{1}(M/2 < \mathcal{N} \leq M) \leq C$$

and with the bounds in Lemma 10 and in Lemma 11, we obtain

$$\langle \Phi_{N,t}, A_1 G_p(\mathcal{N}/N) \mathbb{1}(M/2 < \mathcal{N} \leq M) \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \leq CN^\beta \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

Similarly, using again Proposition 12 and Lemma 11, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Phi_{N,t}, A_2 (1 - \mathcal{N}/N) \left[\mathbb{1}(M/2 < \mathcal{N} \leq M) + \mathbb{1}(M/2 \leq \mathcal{N} < M) \right] \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \\ & \leq CN^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\frac{2}{M} \left| \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \Phi_{N,t}, [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m}] \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right| \leq C \frac{N^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}}{M} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \quad (103)$$

In summary, we have proved that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right| \\ & \leq C \left[N^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} + M \left(\frac{M}{N} \right)^{2b} + N^\beta \left(\frac{M}{N} \right)^{b+1} + \frac{N^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}}{M} \right] \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion of the proof. For every $\alpha < (1 - \beta)/2$, we can choose $M = N^{1-\varepsilon}$ with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, and then b sufficiently large to obtain

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \right| \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

Integrating over t , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \\ & \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \Phi_{N,0}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,0} \rangle - CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the assumption $\Phi_{N,0} = \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$, $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,0} = T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$ we have the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_{N,0}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,0} \rangle &= \|\mathbb{1}^{\leq m} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N\|^2 = 1 - \|\mathbb{1}^{> m} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N\|^2 \\ &\geq 1 - \langle T_{N,0}^* \xi_N, (\mathcal{N}/m) T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \rangle \\ &\geq 1 - C \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{N}/m) \xi_N \rangle \geq 1 - C/M. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Proposition 8 in the second last estimate and the assumption on ξ_N for the last inequality. Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=M/2+1}^M \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \mathbb{1}^{\leq m} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \geq 1 - CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) - CM^{-1}$$

Combining with (98) and using the choice $M = N^{1-\varepsilon}$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle \geq 1 - CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

Consequently,

$$\|\Phi_{N,t} - \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\|^2 \leq 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi_{N,t}, \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} \rangle) \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)).$$

□

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Combining Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we can prove our first main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix $\alpha < \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$. To begin with, let us choose a sequence $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ with $\|\xi_N\| \leq 1$ and with

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \xi_N \rangle \leq C \quad (104)$$

uniformly in N . This assumption is stronger than the assumption (34) in the theorem; at the end, we will show how to relax it.

Assuming (104), we consider the many-body evolution

$$\Psi_{N,t} = e^{-itH_N} U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$$

and we factor out the condensate, defining, as in (50), $\Phi_{N,t} = U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t}$. To prove Theorem 1, we have to compare $\Phi_{N,t}$ with the (Bogoliubov transformed) effective evolution $T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t} = T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t;0) \xi_N$. To this end, we recall the definition (57) of the modified fluctuation dynamics $\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$, and we bound

$$\|\Phi_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t}\| \leq \|\Phi_{N,t} - \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\| + \|\tilde{\Phi}_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t}\| \leq \|\Phi_{N,t} - \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}\| + \|\xi_{N,t} - \xi_{2,N,t}\|$$

where, as in (60), we set $\xi_{N,t} = T_{N,t} \tilde{\Phi}_{N,t}$ and we used the unitarity of $T_{N,t}$. Combining Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 (which can be used, because of the additional assumption (104)), we conclude that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \quad (105)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all N large enough. This proves Theorem 1 under the additional assumption (104).

Now, let us assume that the sequence $\xi_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_0}$ is normalized $\|\xi_N\| = 1$, but, instead of (104), that it only satisfies the weaker bound

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N}) \xi_N \rangle \leq C, \quad (106)$$

uniformly in N . We choose $M = N^{2\alpha}$ and we decompose

$$\xi_N = \mathbb{1}^{\leq M} \xi_N + \mathbb{1}^{> M} \xi_N$$

Then, using unitarity of the maps $U_{\varphi_{N,t}}$, $T_{N,t}$, $e^{iH_N t}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t;0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t}\| &= \|U_{\varphi_{N,t}} e^{-itH_N} U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N - T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t;0) \xi_N\| \\ &\leq \|U_{\varphi_{N,t}} e^{-itH_N} U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \mathbb{1}^{\leq M} \xi_N - T_{N,t}^* \mathcal{U}_{2,N}(t;0) \mathbb{1}^{\leq M} \xi_N\| \\ &\quad + 2\|\mathbb{1}^{> M} \xi_N\| \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

On the one hand, using Markov's inequality and (106), we have

$$\|\mathbb{1}^{> M} \xi_N\|^2 = \langle \xi_N, \mathbb{1}^{> M} \xi_N \rangle \leq M^{-1} \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{N} \xi_N \rangle \leq CN^{-2\alpha}$$

On the other hand, the sequence $\tilde{\xi}_N = \mathbb{1}^{\leq M} \xi_N$ is such that $\|\tilde{\xi}_N\| \leq \|\xi_N\| = 1$ and

$$\langle \tilde{\xi}_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \tilde{\xi}_N \rangle \leq \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_N \rangle \leq C \quad (108)$$

by (106). Here we used the bound $\mathcal{V}_N \leq CN^{\beta-1}(\mathcal{K}+1)(\mathcal{N}+1)$ for the potential energy, which implies, by the choice of $M = N^{2\alpha}$ and of $\alpha \leq (1-\beta)/2$, that $\mathcal{V}_N \mathbb{1}^{\leq M} \leq C(\mathcal{K}+1)$. Because of (108), we can apply the convergence (105), established under the additional assumption (104), to estimate the first term on the r.h.s. of (107). We obtain that (this time only under the assumption (106))

$$\|\Phi_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t}\| \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|))$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

To show Theorem 2, we compare the difference between the generators of the quadratic evolutions $\mathcal{U}_{2,N}$ and \mathcal{U}_2 defined in (29) and, respectively, in (41).

Proposition 13. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}$ be as defined in (30) and in (40) (and $\eta_N(t)$ as in (31)). Then there exists $C > 0$ such that, with $\alpha = \min(\beta/2, (1 - \beta)/2)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi_1, (\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} - \eta_N(t) - \mathcal{G}_{2,t}) \xi_2 \rangle| \\ \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \|(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1)^{1/2} \xi_1\| \|(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{1/2} \xi_2\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\perp \varphi_{N,t}}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of Proposition 13 can be found in [5, Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4], up to very minor modifications.

Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we first assume that

$$\langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{H}_N + \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}) \xi_N \rangle \leq C \quad (109)$$

uniformly in N . With $\theta_N(t) := -\int_0^t d\tau \eta_N(\tau)$ we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_{2,N,t} - e^{i\theta_N(t)} \xi_{2,t}\|^2 = 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle \xi_{2,N,t}, [\mathcal{G}_{2,N,t} - \eta_N(t) - \mathcal{G}_{2,t}] e^{i\theta_N(t)} \xi_{2,t} \rangle$$

Proposition 13 above implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_{2,N,t} - e^{i\theta_N(t)} \xi_{2,t}\|^2 \\ \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \langle \xi_{2,N,t}, (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_{2,N,t} \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi_{2,t}, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_{2,t} \rangle^{1/2} \\ \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Corollary 7 (with the additional assumption (109)) and the analogous bound

$$\langle \xi_{2,t}, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_{2,t} \rangle \leq C \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \quad (110)$$

for the limiting dynamics $\xi_{2,t}$. Eq. (110) can be proven similarly to the bound for $\xi_{2,N,t}$ in Corollary 7 (with estimates for the generator $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}$ analogous to (64)). Integrating in time, we conclude that

$$\|\xi_{2,N,t} - e^{i\theta_N(t)} \xi_{2,t}\|^2 \leq CN^{-\alpha} \exp(C \exp(C|t|))$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining the last bound with Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t} - e^{-i\theta_N(t)} T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,t}\| &\leq \|U_{\varphi_{N,t}} \Psi_{N,t} - T_{N,t}^* \xi_{2,N,t}\| + \|\xi_{2,N,t} - e^{-i\theta_N(t)} \xi_{2,t}\| \\ &\leq CN^{-\alpha/2} \exp(C \exp(C|t|)) \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 2 under the additional assumption (109). To relax this condition, we proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1. We omit the details. \square

Finally, Theorem 3 follows immediately combining Theorem 2 with the following proposition, which is a modification of the analysis in [11, Section 6].

Proposition 14. *Assume Hypothesis A holds true. Let $\psi_N \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with reduced one-particle density γ_N such that*

$$a_N := \operatorname{tr} |\gamma_N - |\varphi_0\rangle\langle\varphi_0|| \leq CN^{-1} \quad (111)$$

and

$$b_N := \left| \frac{1}{N} \langle \psi_N, H_N \psi_N \rangle - [\|\nabla \varphi_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_0, [V_N f_N * |\varphi_0|^2] \varphi_0 \rangle] \right| \leq CN^{-1} \quad (112)$$

Set $\xi_N = T_{N,0} U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N$ with the Bogoliubov transformation $T_{N,0}$ defined in (25). Then, we have $\psi_N = U_{\varphi_0}^* \mathbf{1}^{\leq N} T_{N,0}^* \xi_N$ and

$$\langle \xi_N, [\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{N}] \xi_N \rangle \leq C$$

uniformly in N .

Proof. First of all, we remark that, with Proposition 8 and (16),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi_N, \mathcal{N} \xi_N \rangle &= \langle T_{N,0} U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, \mathcal{N} T_{N,0} U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle \\ &\leq C \langle U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, (\mathcal{N} + 1) U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle \\ &= C [N - \langle \psi_N, a^*(\varphi_0) a(\varphi_0) \psi_N \rangle] + C \\ &= CN [1 - \langle \varphi_0, \gamma_N \varphi_0 \rangle] + C \leq CN a_N + C.\end{aligned}$$

To bound $\langle \xi_N, \mathcal{K} \xi_N \rangle$, we use $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{H}_N$ and the first bound in (67), which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi_N, \mathcal{H}_N \xi_N \rangle &\leq 2 \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{G}_{N,0} - \eta_N(0)) \xi_N \rangle + C \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_N \rangle \\ &\leq 2 \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{G}_{N,0} - \eta_N(0)) \xi_N \rangle + CN a_N + C.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proposition follows from (111) and (112) if we can show that

$$\langle \xi_N, [\mathcal{G}_{N,0} - \eta_N(0)] \xi_N \rangle \leq \frac{1}{4} \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{H}_N \xi_N \rangle + CN(a_N + b_N) + C. \quad (113)$$

To prove (113) we observe that, from the definition (62) of $\mathcal{G}_{N,0}$ and since $\xi_N = T_{N,0} U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi_N, [\mathcal{G}_{N,0} - \eta_N(0)] \xi_N \rangle &= \langle U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, [T_{N,0}^* (i \partial_t T_{N,t})|_{t=0} + \mathcal{L}_{N,0} - \eta_N(0)] U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,0} - \mathcal{L}_{N,0}] U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

From the proof of Lemma 6.2 and of Theorem 1.1 in [11, Section 6], we find

$$\langle U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, [T_{N,0}^* (i \partial_t T_{N,t})|_{t=0} + \mathcal{L}_{N,0} - \eta_N(0)] U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle \leq CN(a_N + b_N) + C. \quad (115)$$

Therefore, it is enough to consider the second term on the r.h.s. of (114). From the definitions (56) of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,0}$ and (53) of $\mathcal{L}_{N,0}$, we have (see also (99))

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,0} - \mathcal{L}_{N,0} = \sum_{j=1}^4 D_j,$$

with the operators

$$\begin{aligned}D_1 &= \sqrt{N} \left[a^*(Q_{N,0} [(V_N \omega_N) * |\varphi_0|^2] \varphi_0) - a^*(Q_{N,0} [V_N * |\varphi_0|^2] \varphi_0) (\mathcal{N}/N) \right] \\ &\quad \times (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}/N}) + \text{h.c.} \\ D_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K_{2,N,0}(x; y) a_x^* a_y^* \frac{(N - \mathcal{N}) - \sqrt{(N - \mathcal{N})(N - 1 - \mathcal{N})}}{N} + \text{h.c.} \\ D_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx dy (Q_{N,0} \otimes Q_{N,0} V_N Q_{N,0} \otimes 1)(x, y; x', y') a_x^* a_y^* a_{x'} a_{y'} \varphi_0(y') \\ &\quad \times (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{N}/N}) + \text{h.c.} \\ D_4 &= C_b \mathcal{N} (\mathcal{N}/N)^{2b}.\end{aligned}$$

Using $|\sqrt{1 - z} - G_b(z)| \leq C z^{b+1}$ for all $z > 0$, we easily arrive at

$$|\langle U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, D_1 U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle| \leq C \langle U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N, \mathcal{N} U_{\varphi_0} \psi_N \rangle \leq CN a_N + C. \quad (116)$$

Since, for $z \in (0, 1)$,

$$|(1 - z) - \sqrt{(1 - z)(1 - z - 1/N)}| \leq C/N$$

we obtain that, for any $\delta > 0$ (recall that $Q_{N,0}$ has no effect on states in $\mathcal{F}_{\perp\varphi_0}^{\leq N}$),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, D_2U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle| \\ & \leq \int dx dy N^{3\beta-1} V(N^\beta(x-y)) (\delta^{-1}N|\varphi_0(x)|^2|\varphi_0(y)|^2 + \delta N^{-1}\|a_x a_y U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N\|^2) \\ & \leq \delta N^{-1} \langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, \mathcal{V}_N U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle + C. \end{aligned}$$

As in Step 3 of the proof of Lemma 10, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \delta N^{-1} \langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, \mathcal{V}_N U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle &= \delta N^{-1} \langle \xi_N, T_{N,0} \mathcal{H}_N T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \rangle \\ &\leq \delta \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{H}_N \xi_N \rangle + C N a_N + C. \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

Choosing, for example, $\delta = 1/8$, we conclude that

$$|\langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, D_2U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{8} \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{H}_N \xi_N \rangle + C N a_N + C. \tag{118}$$

As for the expectation of D_3 , we proceed similarly as in the proof of Proposition 6 (in particular, in the bound for the operator B_2). Using again the bound $|\sqrt{1-z} - G_b(z)| \leq C z^{b+1}$ for all $z \in (0; 1)$, we find that, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, D_3U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left| \int dx dy V_N(x-y) \varphi_0(y) \langle \xi_N, T_{N,0} a_x^* a_y^* a_x (G_b(\mathcal{N}/N) - \sqrt{1-\mathcal{N}/N}) T_{N,0}^* \xi_N \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \delta \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{V}_N \xi_N \rangle + C \langle \xi_N, (\mathcal{N} + 1) \xi_N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta = 1/8$, we obtain

$$|\langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, D_3U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{8} \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{H}_N \xi_N \rangle + C N a_N + C. \tag{119}$$

Finally, since $U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N$ has at most N particles, we easily find that

$$0 \leq \langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, D_4U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle \leq C N a_N + C.$$

Combining the last bound with (116), (118) and (119), we conclude that

$$|\langle U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N, [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{N,0} - \mathcal{L}_{N,0}] U_{\varphi_0}\psi_N \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4} \langle \xi_N, \mathcal{H}_N \xi_N \rangle + C N a_N + C$$

Together with (115) and (114), we obtain (113). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adami, F. Golse and A. Teta. Rigorous derivation of the cubic NLS in dimension one. *J. Stat. Phys.* **127** (2007), 1193–1220.
- [2] Z. Ammari, M. Falconi and B. Pawilowski. On the rate of convergence for the mean-field approximation of many-body quantum dynamics. *Comm. Math. Sci.* **14** (2016), no. 5, 1417–1442.
- [3] I. Anapolitanos and M. Hott. A simple proof of convergence to the Hartree dynamics in Sobolev trace norms. *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016), 122108.
- [4] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean-field limit for bosons and propagation of Wigner measures. *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009), 042107.
- [5] C. Bocatto, S. Cenatiempo and B. Schlein. Quantum many-body fluctuations around nonlinear Schrödinger dynamics. *Ann. H. Poincaré* **18** (2017), 113–191.
- [6] C. Bardos, F. Golse and N. J. Mauser. Weak coupling limit of the N -particle Schrödinger equation. *Methods Appl. Anal.* **2** (2000), 275–293.
- [7] G. Ben Arous, K. Kirkpatrick and B. Schlein. A central limit theorem in many-body quantum dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **321** (2013), 371–417.
- [8] N. Benedikter, G. de Oliveira and B. Schlein. Quantitative derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68** (2015), no. 8, 1399–1482.
- [9] C. Bocatto, C. Brennecke, S. Cenatiempo and B. Schlein. Complete Bose-Einstein condensation in the Gross-Pitaevskii regime. To appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.*. Preprint arXiv:1703.04452.

- [10] C. Boccato, C. Brennecke, S. Cenatiempo and B. Schlein. The excitation spectrum of Bose gases interacting through singular potentials. Preprint arXiv:1704.04819.
- [11] C. Brennecke, B. Schlein. Gross-Pitaevskii dynamics for Bose-Einstein condensates. Preprint arxiv:1702.05625.
- [12] S. Buchholz, C. Saffirio, B. Schlein. Multivariate Central Limit Theorem in Quantum Dynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.* **154** (2014), 113–152.
- [13] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Focusing quantum many-body dynamics: the rigorous derivation of the 1D focusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **221** (2016), no. 2, 631–676.
- [14] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Correlation structures, many-body scattering processes and the derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* (2016), no. 10, 3051–3110.
- [15] J. Dereziński and M. Napiórkowski. Excitation spectrum of interacting bosons in the mean-field infinite-volume limit. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **15** (2014), 2409–2439.
- [16] A. Elgart and B. Schlein. Mean-field dynamics for boson stars. *Comm. Pure Applied Math.* **60** (2007), no. 4, 500–545.
- [17] L. Erdős, B. Schlein and H.-T. Yau. Derivation of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation from quantum dynamics of many-body systems. *Inv. Math.* **167** (2006), 515–614.
- [18] L. Erdős, B. Schlein and H.-T. Yau. Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **172** (2010), no. 1, 291–370.
- [19] L. Erdős, B. Schlein and H.-T. Yau. Rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with a large interaction potential. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), 1099–1156.
- [20] L. Erdős and H.-T. Yau. Derivation of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation from a many-body Coulomb system. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5** (2001), no. 6, 1169–1205.
- [21] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles and A. Pizzo. Atomism and quantization. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40**, 3033–3045 (2007).
- [22] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles and S. Schwarz. On the mean-field limit of bosons with Coulomb two-body interaction. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **288** (2009), no. 3, 1023–1059.
- [23] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. The classical field limit of scattering theory for nonrelativistic many-boson systems. I and II. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **66** (1979), no. 1, 37–76, and **68** (1979), no. 1, 45–68.
- [24] P. Grech and R. Seiringer. The excitation spectrum for weakly interacting bosons in a trap. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **322** (2013), 559–591.
- [25] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon. Pair excitations and the mean field approximation of interacting bosons, I. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **342** (2013), 601–636.
- [26] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon. Pair excitations and the mean field approximation of interacting bosons, II. *Comm. PDE* **42** (2017), 24–67.
- [27] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon and D. Margetis. Second-order corrections to mean-field evolution of weakly interacting bosons. I. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **294** (2010), no. 1, 273–301.
- [28] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon and D. Margetis. Second-order corrections to mean-field evolution of weakly interacting bosons. II. *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), no. 3, 1788–1815.
- [29] K. Hepp. The classical limit for quantum mechanical correlation functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **35** (1974), 265–277.
- [30] K. Kirkpatrick, B. Schlein and G. Staffilani. Derivation of the two dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation from many-body quantum dynamics. *Amer. J. Math.* **133** (2011), no. 1, 91–130.
- [31] A. Knowles and P. Pickl. Mean-field dynamics: singular potentials and rate of convergence. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **298** (2010), no. 1, 101–138.
- [32] E. Kuz. Exact evolution versus mean field with second-order correction for bosons interacting via short-range two-body potential. *Differential Integral Equations* **30** (2017), no. 7/8, 587–630.
- [33] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam and B. Schlein. Fluctuations around Hartree states in the mean-field regime. *Amer. J. Math.* **137** (2015), 1613–1650.
- [34] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam, S. Serfaty, J.P. Solovej. Bogoliubov spectrum of interacting Bose gases. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68** (2015), no. 3, 413–471.
- [35] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer. Proof of Bose-Einstein condensation for dilute trapped gases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002), 170409.
- [36] D. Mitrouskas, S. Petrat, P. Pickl. Bogoliubov corrections and trace norm convergence for the Hartree dynamics. Preprint arXiv:1609.06264.
- [37] P.T. Nam and M. Napiórkowski. Bogoliubov correction to the mean-field dynamics of interacting bosons. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **21** (2017), 683–738.

- [38] P.T. Nam and M. Napiórkowski. A note on the validity of Bogoliubov correction to mean-field dynamics. *J. Math. Pure. Appl.* **108** (2017), no. 5, 662–688.
- [39] P.T. Nam and M. Napiórkowski. Norm approximation for many-body quantum dynamics: focusing cases in low dimensions. Preprint arXiv:1710.09684.
- [40] P.T. Nam, M. Napiórkowski and J.P. Solovej. Diagonalization of bosonic quadratic Hamiltonians by Bogoliubov transformations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270** (2016), no. 11, 4340–4368.
- [41] P. T. Nam, N. Rougerie and R. Seiringer. Ground states of large bosonic systems: The Gross-Pitaevskii limit revisited. *Analysis and PDE.* **9** (2016), no. 2, 459–485.
- [42] P. T. Nam and R. Seiringer. Collective excitations of Bose gases in the mean-field regime. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **215** (2015), 381–417.
- [43] P. Pickl. Derivation of the time dependent Gross Pitaevskii equation with external fields. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **27** (2015), 1550003.
- [44] A. Pizzo. Bose particles in a box I. A convergent expansion of the ground state of a three-modes Bogoliubov Hamiltonian, Preprint arXiv:1511.07022.
- [45] I. Rodnianski and B. Schlein. Quantum fluctuations and rate of convergence towards mean-field dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **291** (2009), no. 1, 31–61.
- [46] R. Seiringer. The excitation spectrum for weakly interacting bosons. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **306** (2011), 565–578.
- [47] H. Spohn. Kinetic equations from Hamiltonian dynamics: Markovian limits. *Rev. Modern Phys.* **52** (1980), no. 3, 569–615.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: christian.brennecke@math.uzh.ch

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LMU MUNICH, THERESIENSTRASSE 39, 80333 MUNICH, GERMANY

E-mail address: nam@math.lmu.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL METHODS IN PHYSICS, FACULTY OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, PASTEURA 5, 02-093 WARSZAWA, POLAND

E-mail address: marcin.napiorkowski@fuw.edu.pl

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: benjamin.schlein@math.uzh.ch